

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Masaurhi
Anticipatory Bail Petition No.217/2026
[Masaurhi P.S.Case No.383/2026]

1. Vishnu Kumar, aged about 34 years, S/o- Manju Bind
 2. Munna Kumar, aged about 18 years, S/o- Nandu Mistry
 3. Harendra Bind, aged about 30 years, S/o- Nageshwar Bind
 4. Sandeep Kumar, aged about 18 years, S/o- Pyare Bind
 5. Uday Kumar, aged about 29 years, S/o- Pyare Bind
 6. Hareram Kumar, aged about 26 years, S/o- Manju Bind
 7. Sonu Kumar, aged about 25 years, S/o- Pyare Bind
 8. Pyare Bind, aged about 40 years, S/o- Bujhawan Bind
 9. Umesh Bind, aged about 30 years, S/o- Nandu Bind
 10. Ramesh Bind, aged about 28 years, S/o- Nandu Bind
- All R/o vill. Pakari, P.S. Masaurhi, Dist. Patna

..... **Petitioners**
Versus

1. State of Bihar through Addl. Public Prosecutor

..... **Opposite Party**

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 of BNSS

For the petitioner/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar Sharma, Advocate

For the O.P. State : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Addl. P.P.

ORDER

Dated: 14.07.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners namely **1.Vishnu Kumar 2.Munna Kumar 3.Harendra Bind 4.Sandeep Kumar 5.Uday Kumar 6.Hareram Kumar 7.Sonu Kumar 8.Pyare Bind 9.Umesh Bind 10.Ramesh Bind**, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with **Masaurhi P.S. Case No.383/2026 for the offence punishable u/ss 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of the BNS and 27 Arms Act** which is pending in the court of the S.D.J.M., Masaurhi is being pressed today and heard.

The prosecution case on the basis of written information given by the informant, Mukesh Bind stated that on May 13, 2026. He stated that he had gone to village Pakri, Masaurhi, to attend the marriage ceremony of his nephew, Indu Kumar. At around 1:00 AM, during the wedding celebrations, a dispute arose between members of the groom's party and the dance performers near the lodging area (Janmasa). When the informant, along with his wife, Sanju Devi, and others, intervened to resolve the dispute, several accused individuals from village Pakri attacked them. Specifically, Golu Kumar assaulted the informant with an iron rod with the intent to kill, and Nandu Bind struck him on the head with a brick, causing serious injuries. When the informant's wife and relatives rushed to save him, they were also brutally assaulted: Umesh Bind struck Sanju Devi on the chest with a stick, Sonu Kumar and Munna Kumar assaulted Vishnu Kumar, and Sandeep Kumar and Pyare Bind physically attacked them to incite terror. In the chaos, Pyare Bind fired a gun into the air, and Nandu Mistry urged the attackers to

kill the informant's family. Various other co-accused including Uday Kumar, Mangal Bind, Chunu Bind, Ramesh Bind, and Harendra Bind armed themselves with bricks and sticks, brutally beating the informant's relatives, namely Prayag Bind and Nagreshwar Bind. Before leaving, the accused persons threatened to kill the entire family if a police case was filed.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners have not earlier moved any bail petition either anticipatory or regular before this court or before the Hon'ble Court. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in the para-3 of the bail petition. Petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. All the Sections are bailable except 109(1), 303(2) BNS and 27 Arms Act which are not applicable against the petitioners. Prayer has been made to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

On the other hand the learned Addl. P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Heard and perused the case record as well as case diary. The injury report of the informant shows that he sustained two numbers of injuries on head however the specific allegation of assault is upon Golu Kumar who is not petitioner herein. The witnesses in the case diary in para 2, 6, 7 have also specifically named Golu Kumar for having assaulted the informant on head by iron rod. The allegation upon the petitioners is omnibus. There is no mention of criminal antecedent in the case diary. However, Para 3 of the bail petition shows that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent Para 43 of the case diary shows no criminal antecedent of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioners within four weeks from receipt of this order, they shall be directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 of the BNSS. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application is hereby disposed off.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Sankash Chandra)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I,
Masaurhi