

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Masaurhi
Anticipatory Bail Petition No.26/ 2026
[Pipra P.S.Case No.01/2026]

Rohit Kumar, aged about 19 years, S/o- Ranjay Paswan
R/o-Village-Parthu, PS-Pipra, District- Patna

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Addl. Public Prosecutor

..... **Opposite Party**

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 of BNSS

For the petitioner/s : Mr. Lokesh Kumar, Advocate

For the O.P. State : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Addl. P.P.

ORDER

Dated: 18.03.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner **Rohit Kumar**, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Pipra P.S. Case No. 01/2026** for offence punishable **U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 117(2), 3(5) of BNS** which is pending in the court of the ACJM, Masaurhi is being pressed today and heard.

The case of the prosecution as per the Fardbeyan of Ranjan Kumar recorded at PMCH is that on 01.01.2026 at about 07:30 hrs, he was going to catch train to go to Pothahi for studying. While he was near Surat, then Suraj Kumar, Munna Kumar, Ujjwal Kumar, Bailla, Rohit Kumar restrained him and tied a towel in his neck and with an intention to kill him attacked him by knife and other weapons on the neck and he was seriously injured. He fell down and after some time when he came to senses then he came to his home somehow and then his family members took him for treatment and sixteen number of stichtes were inflicted upon him.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has not earlier moved any anticipatory or regular bail petition either before this court or before the Hon'ble Court. Petitioner has no any previous criminal antecedent as mentioned in his bail application. Petitioner is innocent and has falsely implicated in this case. Alleged all sections are bailable in nature except section 109 of BNS which is not specific and and not attracted against petitioner. Petitioner is ready to abide by all conditions imposed upon him and petitioners are ready to furnish sufficient sureties.

On the other hand the learned Addl. P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Heard the argument of both sides and perused the case diary and materials available on the case record. Chargesheet has been submitted against other co-accused u/s 326(2), 352, 115(2), 351(2), 109, 117(2), 3(5) of BNS. The witnesses in para 6,7 have supported the prosecution version. There is a confessional statement of Suraj Kumar on record. A copy of injury report Medimercy Emergency Hospital is attached which shows neck injury with sharp instrument. It also shows that primary treatment of dressing and stitches was done at PMCH, Patna on 01.01.2026. However, any nature of injury could not be given for want of further treatment. Para 57 of the CD shows that the victim Ranjan Kumar was brought to PHC, Punpun but he was referred to PMCH. Para 59 of the CD shows that the victim had come in the emergency ward of PMCH, where he was given primary treatment but before the discharge slip, the victim Ranjan Kumar moved away from the hospital without permission and hence it was not possible to provide injury report. As per the para 3 of the bail petition the petitioner has no any criminal antecedent.

Considering injury by sharp weapon on the neck which is vital part of the body, I am not inclined to enlarge petitioner on anticipatory bail, hence his prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby **Rejected**. Accordingly, aforesaid bail petition is hereby disposed off.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Sankash Chandra)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I,
Masaurhi