

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
KANCHEEPURAM

PRESENT: Tmt. S. Mohanakumari M.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District & Sessions Court ,(FAC)
Kancheepuram.

Wednesday the 24th day of June 2026

CrI.M.P.No. 1210/2026

A. Balamurugan, age (29)

S/o. Adhikesavan

No. 15/40, Velathamman Kovil Stret

Little Kancheepuram, Tamilnadu-631501.

...Petitioner/Accused

// Vs //

State: Represented by

The Inspector of Police,

B3 Kanchi Taluk Police Station,

Kancheepuram District.

Cr.No. 176/2026

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up before me for hearing today in the presence of counsels M/s. T.R. Sekar, H. Parthasarathy, appearing for the petitioner/Accused and Thiru. E.L.Kannan, the Public Prosecutor for the respondent/Prosecution, on perusal of reply submitted by Prosecution and upon hearing the arguments from the counsels on both side, upon perusing the entire records of the case, this Court passed the following:-

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested on 05.06.2026 for commission of alleged offences under Sections 75(i)(ii), 75(2), 79 of BNS r/w section 4 of TNPHW ACT has filed the present petition seeking Bail under section.483 of the BNSS, 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner /accused would state that no offence as stated by the respondent police has taken place and that a false case has been foisted upon the petitioner/accused herein. He would state that the petitioner/accused is in custody since 05.06.2026. He would point out that there are no previous cases

pending against the petitioner/accused herein and on these grounds he would pray for grant of bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor on behalf of the respondent police would strongly oppose for the grant of bail on the ground that the investigation is pending in a preliminary stage. He would point out that the petitioner/accused is a Auto driver, he took the defacto complainant in his auto to drop near Iyyankulam Village where he showed his private part to the defacto complainant and sexually harassed the defacto complainant consequent to which the present case came to be registered. He would fairly concede that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioner/accused herein. He would state that the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court on 19.06.2026 and there is no change in circumstances. He would state that the investigation is still pending. On these grounds he would strongly oppose for the grant of bail.

Heard the parties concerned and perused the relevant records. The earlier bail application was dismissed by this court on 19.06.2026 and there is no change in circumstances. Upon perusal of records, it is seen that petitioner/accused is in custody since 05.06.2026. It is pointed out that the investigation is pending in a preliminary stage. Considering the nature of the accusation, absence of any change in circumstances and the facts and circumstances, this court is not inclined to grant bail. Hence the bail application is dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

//This order has been dictated by me to the Stenographer, typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the Court on this Wednesday the 24th day of June 2026.//

Sd./- S. Mohanakumari
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Court,
Kancheepuram. (FAC)