

**IN THE COURT OF MANILA CHUGH (PB0205)
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, LUDHIANA.**

**B.A. No. 5027/2026
CNR No. PBLD01-012813-2026
Decided on: 15-07-2026**

Jagtar Singh son of Balvir Singh resident of # 10524, Gali No.4, Tilak Nagar,
Rahon Road, Tibba, Ludhiana Punjab-141003.

....Applicant/Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab (Through SHO, Police Station Tibba, Ludhiana).

**Bail Application u/s 482 BNSS for grant of
anticipatory bail.**

FIR No. 118 dated 21-05-2026 Under Sections 316(2) and 318(4) of the BNS, 2023. Police Station Tibba Ludhiana.

Present: Sh. Jagir Singh Advocate, Ld. Counsel for
applicant/accused.
Sh. Varun Sharma, Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor for the
State.

ORDER

Prayer for anticipatory bail is made by applicant/accused on the ground that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that present FIR has been registered against applicant and co-accused Rantej Rana on the basis of complaint moved by Asha Rani alleging commission of offence of cheating, criminal breach of trust and criminal intimidation. The applicant/accused has been falsely arrayed as co-accused merely being known to complainant. The entire transactions/dealings were between complainant and non-applicant/accused Rantej Rana only. Thus applicant/accused has no role whatsoever in the transaction, and the dispute if any is between complainant and co-accused Rantej Rana. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused

has further submitted that co-accused Rantej Rana has been given the benefit of interim anticipatory bail by Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court vide order dated 09-07-2026 passed in CRM-M-34289-2026. The applicant/accused is ready to join and co-operate in investigation. Prayer for grant of anticipatory bail has thus been made.

2. Per contra Ld. APP for the State has opposed present bail application on the ground that serious and specific allegations are levelled against applicant/accused that he had induced the complainant to send her son to Russia on work permit against receipt of sum of Rs.6 lacs but after the son of complainant reached Russia, no job was given to him and rather he was arrested by police. Both the travel agents namely applicant/accused Jagtar singh and non-applicant/accused Rantej Rana refused to help and were also not returning the amount fraudulently obtained from complainant. It was further urged by Ld. APP for the State that out of total amount of Rs.4.19 lacs deceitfully obtained by both the accused from the complainant, many entries were credited in the account of applicant/accused also. The anticipatory bail application of co-accused Rantej Rana already stands dismissed by the court of Ld. Additional Sessions Judge Ludhiana and he was granted interim anticipatory bail by Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court only on the basis of compromise effected by him with the complainant. However, there is no such compromise viz-a-viz the applicant/accused as per his own case. Therefore, in view of seriousness of offence allegedly committed by applicant/accused, present bail application be dismissed.

3. I have heard rival contentions and have perused the record. Specific and categoric allegations are levelled against applicant/accused that he had induced complainant Asha Rani to pay sum of Rs.6 lacs for

sending her son Raman Kumar abroad on work permit and that after receipt of sum of Rs.4.19 lacs by him and co-accused Rantej Rana, he sent the son of complainant to Russia without any work permit where he had to undergo undue harassment. It has been categorically alleged that on 20-02-2025 sum of Rs.40,000/- was credited in the account of applicant/accused Jagtar Singh in State Bank of India, on 21-02-2025 sum of Rs.10,000/- was credited in the account of applicant/accused Jagtar Singh in State Bank of India, on 16-01-2025 sum of Rs.50,000/- was credited in the account of applicant/accused Jagtar Singh in State Bank of India through PayTM and on 29-07-2025 sum of Rs.10,000/- was paid to him through online transfer. Specific allegations thus stand levelled against applicant/accused that he alongwith co-accused, induced complainant to make payment of Rs.4.19 lacs to them on the pretext of sending her son to Russia. The crime of extracting money from innocent youth by showing them the dream of going abroad is on rise. The allegations involved in the present case are serious having social implications. Investigation is still going on. In these circumstances, including the seriousness of offence involved in the present case, this Court does not deem it fit to give benefit of anticipatory bail to applicant-accused. Accordingly, present bail application stands dismissed.

4. Police record be returned. The present bail application file be consigned to Judicial Record Room, Ludhiana.

Pronounced in the open court.
Date of order : 15-07-2026

(Manila Chugh)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ludhiana. (UID No.PB0205)

BA 5027-2026 Jagtar Singh versus State of Punjab.

Present: Sh. Jagir Singh Advocate, Ld. Counsel for
applicant/accused.
Sh. Varun Sharma, Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor for the
State.

Arguments heard. Police Record Perused. Vide my separate
detailed order of even date, present bail application stands dismissed. The
present bail application file be consigned to the Record Room, after due
compliance.

Pronounced in the open court.
Date of order : 15-07-2026

(Manila Chugh)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ludhiana. (UID No.PB0205)

Sarabjyot Kaur, steno-I