

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(Present:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Danapur)

A.B.P. No. 2807 of 2025

(Arising out of Maner P.S. Case No. 814 of 2025)

1. Dhananjay Paswan, son of Nathun Paswan,

2. Priyanshu Kumar, son of Dhananjay Paswan,

Both Resident of: Village- Bhawani Tola, P.S.- Maner, Distt.- Patna

..... Petitioners

Versus.

The State of Bihar.

..... Opp.Party

Learned counsel for the petitioners :- Miss Moni Kumari, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

Order.

04-06-2026 This Anticipatory Bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Maner P.S. Case No. 814 of 2025, registered under Sections 190, 191(2), 127(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 352 of B.N.S., pending in the Court of Md. Arbaz Ansari, learned J.M.- Ist Class, Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication, clean antecedents and this to be the first bail application of the petitioners, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that all Sections are bailable in nature except Section 109 of the B.N.S., which is super addition. It is further submitted that this case has been lodged against the petitioners to save from Maner P.S. Case No. 815 of 2025, which has been brought by one Anita Devi against the informant and his family members. In the light of the above submissions, learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Perused the record. On perusal of the same, it transpires that all the offences alleged in the FIR except Section 109 of B.N.S. is punishable for less than seven years of imprisonment and as far as Section 109 is concerned, then para 67 of the case diary goes to suggest that the victim Dhiraj Paswan and Shiv Kumari Devi did not get treated outside of the place nor did they undergo x-ray test. Admittedly, there is case and counter case between the parties who are neighbours. Para 83 of the case diary suggest that these petitioners have got clean antecedents. Some of the accused persons have been granted the privilege of Anticipatory Bail by this Court earlier.

Therefore, considering all these facts, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Hence, they are directed to be released, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the trial Court within Thirty (30) days from the date of this order upon their furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-with two sureties of like amount each subject to condition as mentioned in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Kumar Gunjan)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.