

# **In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur**

(Present:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Danapur)

## **A.B.P. No. 2698 of 2025**

(Arising out of Maner P.S. Case No. 307 of 2023)

1. Shambhu Ray, son of Chandrika Ray,
  2. Pramod Kumar @ Pramod Ray, son of Chandrika Ray,
- Both Resident of: Village- Dalipchak, Daudpur, Police Station- Shahpur, District- Patna,  
..... Petitioners

Versus.

The State of Bihar. .... Opp.Party  
Learned counsel for the petitioners :- Sri Shashibhushan Prabhat (Advocate)  
Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

---

### **Order.**

**18-05-2026** This Anticipatory Bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Maner P.S. Case No. 307 of 2023 registered under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504, 506, 379, 354, 34 of the I.P.C., pending in the Court of Md. Arbaz Ansari, learned J.M.- Ist Class, Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication, clean antecedents and this to be the first bail application of the petitioners, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that A counter case has also registered against the informant of this case and his family members by the petitioner Lilawati Devi bearing Maner P.S. Case No. 290 of 2023. It is further submitted that the land dispute is going on between both the parties. All the sections areailable in nature except 307 of I.P.C. and Section 379 and 354 of IPC is super addition. In the light of the above submissions, learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the payer for bail.

Perused the record. On perusal of the same, it transpires that as per para 63 and 64 of the case diary, the dispute has arisen due to a land dispute in which both the parties are said to have assaulted each other in which both parties sustained injuries. However, as per para 44 of the case diary, no injury report has been submitted by the informant even after issuance of notice under Section 91 of Cr.P.C. by the I.O. These petitioners are brother-in-law of the main accused namely Niranjana Rai and also belong to a different village.

# **In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur**

*(P.O.:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur)*

**A.B.P. No. 2698 of 2025**

*(Arising out of Maner P.S. Case No. 307 of 2023)*

*Shambhu Ray & Anr. Versus. The State of Bihar.*

-----  
Contd.

18-05-2026

- 2 -

Allegation against them is vague and omnibus and as per para 57 of the case diary, these petitioners have got clean antecedents.

Therefore, considering all these facts, the petitioners grant the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Hence, they are directed to be released, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the trial Court within Thirty (30) days from the date of this order upon their furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-with two sureties of like amount each subject to condition as mentioned in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. **with further condition that petitioners shall remain physically present before Investigating Officer on every 10<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> day of the month till completion of investigation.**

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Kumar Gunjan)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.