

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, DANAPUR**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2382 of 2025 (Khagaul P.S. Case No. 335/2025)**

In the matter of:-

1. Bholu @ Nikhil Kumar, aged about 31 years, s/o Jitendra Kumar Singh,
  2. Jitendra Kumar Singh, aged about 54 years, s/o Late Awadhesh Singh,
  3. Harendra Singh, aged about 50 years, s/o Late Awadhesh Singh,
  4. Pankaaj Kuamr, aged about 40 years, s/o Late Devendra Kumaar Singh, All are r/o vill. Mustafapur, P.S. Khagaul, Distt. Patna
- ..... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Bihar .....O.P.

Lawyer for the accused; Sri Md. Firaque Alam (Adv.)

Lawyer for the State; Sri Kalam Ansari (Addl. P.P.),

**Present: - Naghma Tarannum Addl. Sessions Judge-04, Danapur**

**ORDER**

02-04-2026	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The present application has been preferred on behalf of the petitioners named above, apprehending their arrest in connection with Khagaul P.S. Case No. 335 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 308,(3),352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.</li> <li>2. The prosecution case as disclosed from the typed complaint given by the informant, Veer Bhushan Kumar, to the SHO Khagaul on 25.09.2025 in brief is that, on 25.09.2025 at about 9.00 a.m all the accused petitioners came to his plot and started abusing him in filthy language and also demanded Rs 15 lakhs as ransom. They threatened him to kill if their demands are not fulfilled. It is also alleged that accused Pankaj Kumar snatched away a gold chain from his possession.</li> <li>3. The Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated due to long standing land dispute between them. No any occurrence as such has taken place, therefore the entire case is based on mere conjectures and surmises. All the offences are bailable in nature except section 303(2) of BNS which has been</li> </ol>	Contd.
------------	--	--------

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, DANAPUR****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2382 of 2025 (Khagaul P.S. Case No. 335/2025)**

<p>Contd. 02-04-2026</p>	<p>ornamentally added just to make the offences serious one. It is further submitted that the investigation has been substantially progressed and no custodial interrogation is further required, they are ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court. Hence pray to be enlarged on bail.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned Additional Public Prosecutor has strongly opposed the prayer for bail. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioners are very serious in nature, therefore do not deserve any leniency.</p> <p>5. I have given my anxious consideration to the rival submissions and perused the case diary. Admittedly, there is bona fide land dispute between the parties. Nothing incriminating has been discovered during the course of investigation. The investigation of the case has substantially progressed though charge sheet is yet to be filed. Therefore, I am of the considered view that, the petitioners deserve the privilege of pre arrest bail in this case.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from today, the petitioner, (1) Bholu @ Nikhil Kumar (2) Jitendra Kumar Singh, (3) Harendra Singh and (4) Pankaj Kumar, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, in connection with Khagaul P.S. Case No. 335 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 BNSS, and further conditions that:</p> <p>7. (i) The petitioners shall cooperate with the trial and appear before the trial court on each and every date</p>	<p>Contd.</p>
------------------------------	--	---------------

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, DANAPUR**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2382 of 2025 (Khagaul P.S. Case No. 335/2025)**

<p>Contd. 02-04-2026</p>	<p>fixed unless exempted.</p> <p>(ii) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly induce, threaten or promise any person acquainted with the facts of the case.</p> <p>(iv) In case of violation of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.</p> <p>8. It is made clear that any observation made herein is only for the purpose of disposal of the present anticipatory bail application and shall not prejudice the trial on merits.</p> <p>9. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the court concerned forthwith.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Naghma Tarannum)</b> Addl. Sessions Judge-IV, Danapur</p>	
------------------------------	---	--