

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(Present:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Danapur)

A.B.P. No. 2281 of 2025

(Arising out of Danapur P.S. Case No. 799 of 2025)

Shashank Kumar, son of Rabindra Prasad, Resident of village- Mitralok Colony,
Gajadharganj, Police Station- Buxar Railway Station, District- Buxar,

..... Petitioner

Versus.

The State of Bihar.

..... Opp.Party

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Gautam Kumar, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the informant :- Shakeel Ahmad Ansari, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (Ld. A.P.P.)

Order.

10-04-2026 This Anticipatory Bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 799 of 2025 registered under Sections 86, 115(2), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, pending in the Court of learned A.C.J.M.- I, Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication and clean antecedents, ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has never demanded any dowry and also never tortured to the informant but due to the biased and arrogant view and unnecessary family interferences of the informant's mother and father, informant taken this step and lodged this fake and false F.I.R. against the petitioner and his family members. It is further submitted that the petitioner works in a private bank but due to the torture and harassment by the informant, the petitioner has left the Job. In the light of the above submissions, Ld. counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned Addl. P.P. and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the payer for bail.

Perused the record. Today, the petitioner as well as the complainant are present in the Court. The complainant admits that she is coming from her matrimonial home. However, she alleges of being ill treated at the matrimonial home at the hands of her husband and other in-laws and also complaints that despite of making the promise to get her admitted in course of the sixth semester of B.Sc., the petitioner has denied to fulfil his promise. The petitioner does not have any explanation or answer to the question that despite his earlier promise why he is not getting his wife admitted in 6th semester of Bsc. The complainant also agrees to reside at her matrimonial home, however with a condition of being kept with full honour and dignity as a wife which she has been denied by her husband and in-laws during her entire stay till date. This goes to suggest that the complainant is ready and willing to restore the matrimonial relationship but the petitioner

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(P.O.:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge, Danapur.)

A.B.P. No. 2281 of 2025

(Arising out of Danapur P.S. Case No. 799 of 2025)

Shashank Kumar versus The State of Bihar.

Contd.

10-04-2026.

- 2 -

is reluctant. Case diary has been called for but despite repeated directions case diary has not been produced by I.O. Report of the mediation is also available. As per order dated 02-04-2026 of the Id. Mediator, the petitioner has not made any positive steps for mediation even when the complainant was ready to live with the petitioner and she wanted to continue her education, the accused petitioner has denied to facilitate her in this process. Finally, the said mediation has failed due to non co-operative approach of the petitioner.

Under these circumstances, this petitioner does not deserve to be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail. Hence, his prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby **rejected**.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Kumar Gunjan)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.