

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-II, Danapur

(Present :- Kshiprachala Anjalee, Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.)

A. B.P. No. 1196 of 2026.

(Arising out of Maner P.S. Case No. 552 of 2023).

Sisaila Rai @ Ramanuj Rai, son of Ramgrahi Rai, Resident of Village-Haldi Chapra,
Badal Tola, Police Station-Maner, District-Patna Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar. Opp.Party.

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Jitendra Sharma, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the informant :- Sri Ajit Kumar, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad, (Addl. P.P.)

O r d e r.

08-06-2026. This Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner, who apprehends his arrest in connection with Maner P.S. Case No. 552 of 2023 registered under Sections 341, 323, 307, 379, 504 and 506 read with Section 34 of the I.P.C., pending in the court of Md. Arbaz Ansari, learned J.M.F.C., Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that earlier the petitioner has not moved for grant of his anticipatory or regular bail before this learned court or before the Hon'ble High Court. It is also submitted that there is no any bail application pending in any other Court and this is the first bail application filed on behalf of the aforesaid petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to local village politics. The informant is not an eye witness of this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. All the Sections areailable in nature except Sections 307 and 379 of the I.P.C. which has been exaggerated to make the case serious. Moreover, on the well-wishers of the parties, both the sides have entered into the compromise and the compromise petition is annexed with the bail petition. The petitioner has clean antecedent. The petitioner is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court. Hence, the petitioner may be given benefit of anticipatory bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. as well as learned lawyer of the informant conceded that both the parties have compromised the case.

As per F.I.R. the informant Kamli Devi, alleged that both of her sons namely Sinoj Vishwakarma and Shankar Vishwakarma, work in boat welding at Haldi Chapra Ghat for which they own a welding machine. On 20-07-2023, the welding machine of

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-II, Danapur

(Present :- Kshiprachala Anjalee, Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.)

A. B.P. No. 1196 of 2026.

(Arising out of Maner P.S. Case No. 552 of 2023).

Sisaila Rai @ Ramanuj Rai, son of Ramgrahi Rai, Resident of Village-Haldi Chapra,
Badal Tola, Police Station-Maner, District-Patna Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar. Opp.Party.

Contd. 08-06-2026

- 2 -

her sons broke down. To fix it, her son had called a mechanic. Her son was sitting near the Haldi Chapra Ghat, waiting for the mechanic. At about 10.00 A.M., a boatman came to her son to get his boat's engine welded and said that his boat's engine was broken and asked to fix it. Her son replied that his own welding machine was broken. Upon hearing this, the boatmen namely Ranjan Kumar, Rohit Kumar, Sisaila Rai and Kariya along with five unknown individuals, they started verbally abusing her son. When her son objected to the abuse, all the named individuals with the intention to kill her son, brutally assaulted him with thick bamboo sticks. As a result of which, both of her sons collapsed on the spot and fell unconscious. Hearing their screams, when the nearby people came to rescue them, all the named accused threatened to kill them. When her son fell completely unconscious after being severely beaten, they fled the scene. Subsequently, the neighbors brought both of her sons home and narrated the entire incident to her. When, she saw that her sons were seriously injured, somehow, she brought her sons to the Police Station. The Police referred them for treatment in Maner Hospital, but for better treatment, the Government Hospital, Maner, referred Sinoj Vishwakarma and Shankar Vishwakarma to P.M.C.H. and thereafter she filed this case. Therefore, the informant requested to take appropriate legal action. On the basis of the written report of the informant, an F.I.R. was lodged under Sections 341, 323, 307, 379, 504 and 506 read with Section 34 of the I.P.C.

Perused the record. On perusal of record, it transpires that prima facie the prosecution version finds support from it. Both the sides are co-villagers. It also appears that all the Sections are bailable in nature except Sections 307 and 379 of the I.P.C. which seems to be super addition. Moreover, both the sides have entered into compromise and compromise petition has been filed. The informant is also present in the court who accepted the factum of compromise and given her no objection, if the petitioner may be granted bail.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-II, Danapur

(Present :- Kshiprachala Anjalee, Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.)

A. B.P. No. 1196 of 2026.

(Arising out of Maner P.S. Case No. 552 of 2023).

Sisaila Rai @ Ramanuj Rai, son of Ramgrahi Rai, Resident of Village-Haldi Chapra,
Badal Tola, Police Station-Maner, District-Patna Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar. Opp.Party.

Contd. 08-06-2026

- 3 -

Having considered aforesaid including the facts and circumstance of the case, factum of compromise and the nature of allegation alleged against the petitioner, this court finds that this is fit case in which anticipatory bail should be granted to the above-named petitioner. Accordingly, the above-named petitioner **Sisaila Rai @ Ramanuj Rai**, is directed to be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender within 30 days from the date of production/receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court concerned subject to the conditions as laid down in Section 438(2) of the Cr. P.C. and subject to further conditions that :- (1) One of the bailors must be from the native place of the petitioner (2) The petitioners shall file an affidavit that he should not commit similar offence in future. (3) If at any stage of the case it is revealed to the court concerned that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent to obtain bail, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court concerned and (4) The petitioner shall fully cooperate in the trial/investigation of the case and shall be **physically present on each and every date till framing of charge** in the case, failing which arise an occasion for cancellation of bail bond.

(Dictated)

Sd/

(Kshiprachala Anjalee)
Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.

