

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge XIV, Danapur, Patna

Present: Sri Deepak Kumar-II, A.S.J.-XIV, Danapur

Bail Petition No. 874/2026

Arising out of Sigori P.S. Case No. 89/2024

Sujit Kumar, aged about 19 years, S/o Uday Ram, R/o Vill: Karanpura, P.S. Sigori,
Distt: Patna

..... Petitioner.

V/s.

The State of Bihar

.....O.P.

On behalf of Petitioner:

Sri Ashok Chaudhary, Ld. Advocate

On behalf of Opposite Party:

Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad, Ld. A.P.P.

ORDER

31-07-2026

The regular bail petition has been filed by the above named petitioner, who is in judicial custody since 05/07/2026 in connection with Sigori P.S. Case no. 89/2024, registered U/Ss. 147, 149, 341, 323, 325, 307 and 354(B) of the I.P.C., which is pending in the Ld. court of Sri Chetan Anand, J.M.F.C., Danapur.

The prosecution case, as per the written report of the informant Reena Devi, is that on 30/06/2024 at about 07:30 P.M., she was at her house with sister-in-law Sanju Devi. Her husband had gone out to buy milk. Meanwhile, the FIR named accused persons unanimously came to her house and started abusing them. When they objected, the accused persons started assaulting with lathi, danda and rod due to which informant got badly injured and she fell on the ground, when her sister-in-law came to intervene, the accused persons also assaulted them. The crux of the dispute is that there is land and property dispute going on in the past and accused persons have taken the possession of the land. On the basis of written report of the informant, F.I.R. was lodged and investigation started.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has no criminal antecedent and not committed any offence as alleged. It is further submitted that allegations levelled against him are false and baseless. The Ld. Counsel further submitted that earlier petitioner has earlier filed A.B.P. No.1320/2026 before this court and in the meantime petitioner/accused has been arrested by the police and therefore he has withdrawn his Anticipatory bail petitioner and except that petitioner has not filed any bail petition before this court and no case is pending before any court. It is further submitted that both parties are own Gotiya Pattidar and there is road dispute between the parties. There is case and counter case between the parties and Sigori P.S. Case no. 90/2024 is pending against the informant and others. All the alleged sections are bailable in nature except sections 109 and 76 of the B.N.S. Other co-accused has been granted Anticipatory bail by this court vide order dated 13/07/2026 in A.B.P. No. 1320/2026. Lastly, it was submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 05/07/2026 without any fault and is ready to execute bail bond as per direction of the court. Hence, it was prayed that the petitioner be enlarged on bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner.

Cont....

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-XIV, Danapur

Name of P.O.- Sri Deepak Kumar-II

B.P. No.- 874/2026

F.I.R. Sigori, P.S. case no. 89/2024

Sujit Kumar Vs State of Bihar

-2-

Heard both sides and perused the case diary. Upon perusal it transpires that this case is lodged U/Ss. 147, 149, 341, 323, 325, 307 and 354(B) of the I.P.C. and there is allegation against the petitioner that he along with other co-accused persons forcibly entered into the house of informant and brutally assaulted the informant and her sister-in-law over previous land and property dispute. So far case diary is concerned, para 2 contains the restatement of the informant. From the statements of witnesses it appears that both parties are Gotiya and there is land dispute between them. From the perusal of injury report attached with the case diary it appears that two injuries found of victims namely Balaram and Ratish Kumar which is on left ankle joint and on left wrist respectively which is not vital part of the body. There is no repeated blow so that section 307 of the I.P.C. is not attracted against the petitioner. The petitioner has clean criminal antecedent and is in judicial custody since 05/07/2026.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, clean antecedents, nature of injuries and period of custody, let the above named petitioner/accused be enlarged on bail upon furnishment of bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000 with two sureties of the like amount each with condition that:-

01. One of the bailors must be of close relative.

02. The petitioner shall not indulge into similar type of offence in future.

O/C is directed to send a copy of this order to the Ld. Trial Court and a copy of the same be uploaded on C.I.S.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Deepak Kumar-II)

Additional Sessions Judge XIV, Danapur

31-07-2026