

\*\*\*\*\*

**BP No. 852/2026, BP No. 865/2026 & BP No. 898/2026**  
**(arising out of Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 450 of 2026)**  
**Date of order 29.07.2026**

\*\*\*\*\*

**BP No. 852/2026**  
**Rupaspur PS Case No. 450/2026**

**Manoj Kumar**, s/o- Late Ramesh Kumar  
r/o- Phulwari Sharif, Jai Hind Colony, PS- Phulwari Sharif District- Patna  
.....**Accused-Petitioner.**

**V/s**

**The State of Bihar.....Prosecution.**

**With**  
**BP No. 865/2026**  
**Rupaspur PS Case No. 450/2026**

**Karan Kumar**, s/o- Binod Prasad  
r/o- Ibrahimpur, PS- Bihta, District- Patna  
.....**Accused-Petitioner.**

**V/s**

**The State of Bihar.....Prosecution.**

**With**  
**BP No. 898/2026**  
**Rupaspur PS Case No. 450/2026**

**1. Raj**, s/o- Arvind Kumar  
r/o- House No. 1857, Akhada Road, Yaduvanshi Kirana Store Ke Pass, PS- Digha, District- Patna  
**2. Amit Chaudhary**, s/o- Ranjeet Chaudhary  
r/o- Mugalpura Jaggi Ka Chauraha, Nai Sadak, PS- Khajekala, District- Patna  
**3. Pravin Shankar @ Golu**, s/o- Nasiblal  
r/o- Usri Near Primary School, PO- Shikarpur, PS- Shahpur, District- Patna  
.....**Accused-Petitioners.**

**V/s**

**The State of Bihar.....Prosecution.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**ORDER**

**29.07.2026**

**Present:**

**Ld. Counsel Md. Safdar Hayat**, Advocate for the applicant accused persons in  
**BP No. 852/26 & BP No. 898/26.**  
**Ld. Counsel Shri Manish Kishore**, Advocate, for the applicant accused in BP  
**No. 865/26.**  
**Md. Kalam Ansari**, Addl. P.P. for the State.

\*\*\*\*\*

**BP No. 852/2026, BP No. 865/2026 & BP No. 898/2026**

**(arising out of Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 450 of 2026)**

**Date of order 29.07.2026**

\*\*\*\*\*

1 These regular bail petition filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioners are in judicial custody since 24.06.2026 in connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 450 of 2026, registered- U/S- 112(1), 318(2), 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2) BNS. All the three regular bail petitions are related to same case as of **Rupaspur PS case No. 450 of 2026** and thus they are heard together.

2. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the applicant accused as well as the Ld. Public prosecutor for the state.

3. The applicant accused submitted that they are quite innocent and they have not committed any offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that no similar bail application is filed in this court or before the Hon'ble court. It is further submitted that the applicant accused persons bear no criminal antecedent and they are in judicial custody since 24.06.2026. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating article is recovered from the possession of the applicant accused persons. The applicant accused persons are ready to furnish sound surety.

4. During the course of hearing, case diary as well as the case diary was called. Heard and perused the record. On perusal of the record, it is revealed that this case is registered on the basis of an application of the informant wherein he stated that On 21.06.2026 at about 10:00 PM, Sub-Inspector Ramji Prasad of Rupaspur Police Station, Patna, along with Constable Sonu Kumar Paswan and Constable Rana Pratap Singh, left the police station for night patrolling and special raids. At about 2:30 AM on 22.06.2026, information was received through STF, Patna that a person in a house near Gayatri Apartment, Manokamna Mandir, Ambedkar Path, Patna, is receiving money from candidates appearing in NEET and various bank examinations. Acting upon the information, the police team, along with STF officials, surrounded the house and conducted a raid. During the raid, accused Praveen Shankar @ Golu was apprehended from the premises. During the search of his room, several documents blank cheques, some signed cheque, e-stamps and non-judicial stamp papers, educational certificates, mark sheets, Aadhaar Card, PAN Card, passport, bank documents, mobile etc. Belonging to different persons. The prosecution alleged that the recovered materials indicated their use in an organised examination and job fraud racket involving forged documents,

**BP No. 852/2026, BP No. 865/2026 & BP No. 898/2026**

**(arising out of Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 450 of 2026)**

**Date of order 29.07.2026**

\*\*\*\*\*

impersonation of candidates, and extortion of money from aspirants. On interrogation, Praveen Shankar @ Golu disclosed that all the recovered documents had been handed over to him by Amit Kumar Chaudhary two days earlier for safe custody. He further stated that Amit Kumar Chaudhary assured him of monetary benefits for keeping the documents and that he later came to know that Amit Kumar Chaudhary and his associates were engaged in preparing fake documents, arranging impersonators for competitive examinations, securing jobs by illegal means, and extorting money from candidates. Realising the illegal nature of the activities, he allegedly asked Amit Kumar Chaudhary to take back the documents, but before the same could happen, the police conducted the raid and apprehended him. Based on the recovery and the disclosure made by the accused, efforts were initiated through STF to apprehend Amit Kumar Chaudhary, who was suspected to be the kingpin of the racket.

5. On the other hand, the learned Addl. P. P. for the State opposed the prayer of bail.

6. During the course of hearing the updated case diary was called. Heard and perused the record, it appears that in this case the story of the prosecution nutshell that the police got an information in the night that a person indulged in relation to NEET examination and other bank examinations and for that purpose he is recovering the money from the candidates/students and upon the said informant the informant who is ASI Ramji Prasad along with the raiding party consisting of the police personnels Sipahi Sonu Kumar Paswan, Sipahi Rana Pratap Singh along with other officials conducted raid in a house where the police apprehended a person who disclosed his name as Pravin Shankar @ Golu i.e the one of the applicant accused and the raiding party found some Blank cheques, Stamp Papers and one cheque of rupees 7 lakhs in the name of Pravin Shankar and the educational documents like Adhar Card, PAN card etc. of several persons and these recovered documents were allegedly seized by the police and the applicant accused Pravin Shankar @ Golu was asked about the possession of document and then he replied that the said document was handed to him by the applicant accused Amit Kumar Chaudhary and the apprehended persons i.e the applicant accused Pravin Kumar @ Golu claimed himself innocent and told the raiding party that he did not know about such documents and the same was handed over to him by Amit Kumar Chaudhary who could tell better and thereafter, the applicant accused Pravin Shankar was

\*\*\*\*\*

**BP No. 852/2026, BP No. 865/2026 & BP No. 898/2026**

**(arising out of Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 450 of 2026)**

**Date of order 29.07.2026**

\*\*\*\*\*

arrested and his confessional statement was recorded. Thereafter, Amit Kumar Chaudhary was also arrested. IO also recorded the further statement of the informant ASI Ramji Prasad in para No. 7 and thereafter the IO recorded the statement of the Sipahi Sonu Kumar and Sipahi Rana Pratap Singh in para No. 8 and 9 of the case diary. The informant in his further statement as well as Sipahi Sonu Kumar Paswan and Sipahi Rana Pratap Singh supported the allegation as of the FIR. Thereafter, other applicant accused persons Karan Kumar, Raj, Manoj Kumar were also arrested and they were allegedly interrogated as shown in para No. 17, 18 and 19 and they are stated to the police regarding their involvement. In para Nos. 39, 40, 41, 42 and 43, it is shown they have no criminal case registered against them. The IO submitted case diary on 20.07.2026 upto para No. 44 and in the produced case diary there is no statement of private or local persons except the police personnel who are the members of the raiding party. The witnesses of alleged recovery/seizure are the police personnel Sipahi Sonu Kumar Paswan and Sipahi Rana Pratap Singh. No local person of the locality was shown as the witness of the seizure, no any statement of local person recorded regarding the alleged conduct of the recovery allegedly made on the information given to the police in the night. Nothing is shown in the case diary that Audiography - videography was also done in the alleged recovery as mandated u/s 105 of BNSS. In the produced case diary nothing is shown that IO contacted any of the alleged person connected with the document allegedly recovered to record their statement for inquiry and thus, the IO did not record the statement of any of the witness who may be alleged victim and no victim's statement and stated regarding the inducement to deliver the property or alluring them for the purpose of providing employment as shown in the story of the prosecution. The applicant accused persons are in judicial custody since 24.06.2026 and they bear no criminal antecedent. The alleged recovered materials/documents are not itself contraband. Therefore, the alleged possession of the such document itself cannot impose the criminal liabilities unless any person speaks to have been victimised stated regarding the alleged illegal design/conspiracy on the part of the accused persons. Moreover, alleged recovery of the document is made and thereafter, one of the applicant was arrested and on the basis of the confessional statements recorded in police custody of other co-accused persons are also arrested. As appeared from the available case

\*\*\*\*\*

**BP No. 852/2026, BP No. 865/2026 & BP No. 898/2026  
(arising out of Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 450 of 2026)  
Date of order 29.07.2026**

\*\*\*\*\*

diary only the confessional statements of the applicant accused persons recorded during the police custody are against them and the alleged recovered documents/materials are not itself contraband and such confessional statement recorded before the police is inadmissible and the police did make any effort to get the statement of the accused recorded in the light of section 183 BNSS. The applicant accused persons are in judicial custody since 24.06.2026 and they have clean antecedent.

Considering the facts and circumstances of this case and period of incarceration and they have no previous criminal antecedent, the applicant accused persons are directed to be released on bail on furnishing the bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- along with two sureties of the like amount each, subject to condition 480(3) BNSS and one of the surety of applicant accused shall be close relative and the applicant accused shall join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer.

Let the copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Sunil Kumar - III,  
DASJ – VI, Danapur  
29.07.2026