

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-II, Danapur

(Present :- Kshiprachala Anjalee, Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.)

A. B.P. No. 1460 of 2026.

(Arising out of Complaint Case No.408(C) of 2025)

Piyush Kumar Verma, son of Anil Kumar Singh, Resident of Village-Bijuliachak,
Police Station-Sigori, District-Patna Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar and another Opp.Parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Ravi Shankar Sharma, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the Complainant :- Sri Anil Kumar Sinha, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad, (Addl. P.P.)

O r d e r.

27-07-2026. This Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner, who apprehends his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 408(C) of 2025 wherein cognizance under Sections 85 read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 4 of the D. P. Act, pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that earlier the petitioner has not moved for grant of his anticipatory or regular bail before this learned court or before the Hon'ble High Court. It is also submitted that there is no any bail application pending in any other court and this is the first bail application filed on behalf of the aforesaid petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The victim Anju Kumari is mentally retarded lady and complainant concealing this fact solemnized her daughter marriage with the petitioner. Due to mental problem, she always fled away from her matrimonial house. Earlier to this case, matter has been put up before Gram Kautchery, Chandhos, and on hearing vide order dated 11-02-2025 pronounce a detail order. The complainant also received her daughter from Sigori P.S. premises. Victim Anju Kumari and her husband prepared an undertaking and on that undertaking both parties and their witnesses took their signature as a proof. Before institution of this case, petitioner filed an information petition, before the learned court of S.D.M., Paliganj, dated 07-06-2024. The petitioner has clean antecedent. The petitioner is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court. Hence, the petitioner may be given benefit of anticipatory bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. as well as learned lawyer of the complainant opposed the

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-II, Danapur

(Present :- Kshiprachala Anjalee, Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.)

A. B.P. No. 1460 of 2026.

(Arising out of Complaint Case No.408(C) of 2025)

Piyush Kumar Verma, son of Anil Kumar Singh, Resident of Village-Bijuliachak,
Police Station-Sigori, District-Patna Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar and another Opp.Parties.

Contd. 27-07-2026

- 2 -

prayer of bail and submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the informant and he has solemnized second marriage.

As per Complaint petition, the complainant Yashwant Singh, alleged in the complaint petition that he got his daughter Anju Kumari married to Piyush Kumar Verma on 03-12-2023. The marriage was solemnized according to Hindu rites and rituals, in the presence of family and close relatives. After the wedding, on 04-12-2023, the daughter

of the complainant went to her Matrimonial house with her husband and began her married life. The complainant, during his daughter's wedding, gave gifts and incurred expenses beyond his financial capacity about Rs. 15 Lakh. The complainant gave a total of Rs. Eight Lakh to the groom's father through bank transfer and cash. Additionally, household articles like Diwan set, Fridge, Almirah, Utensils, etc. worth about Rs. Two Lakh along with gold and silver jewelry worth about Rs. Three Lakh and an Apache Motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR-01HL-8488 worth about Rs. One Lakh 53 thousand were given to his son-in-law. After marriage, the complainant's daughter stayed at her in-law-laws' house for about ten days, after which she returned to her Maika. After 15 days, she again went back to her in-laws' house with her husband Piyush Kumar Verma and brother-in-law Kashi Verma. The accused parties were not happy with the donations and gifts given by the complainant at the daughter's wedding. Consequently, on the grounds of bringing insufficient dowry, the complainant's son-in-law, mother-in-law and father-in-law began to taunt and torture her. The accused sister-in-law Shilam Kumari and her husband Munna Kumar, who always resides in her parental home even after marriage, also assist them in the said act. Initially, the complainant's daughter kept enduring all these matters in the hope that everything would eventually be fine. However, when the harassment became unbearable and Piyush Kumar Verma and Munna @ Prakash together beat the

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-II, Danapur

(Present :- Kshiprachala Anjalee, Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.)

A. B.P. No. 1460 of 2026.

(Arising out of Complaint Case No.408(C) of 2025)

Piyush Kumar Verma, son of Anil Kumar Singh, Resident of Village-Bijuliachak,
Police Station-Sigori, District-Patna Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar and another Opp.Parties.

Contd. 27-07-2026

- 3 -

complainant's daughter and threw her out of the house, then she informed the complainant about all the facts via Mobile No. 9341352665. Subsequently, the complainant went to his daughter's in-laws' house but the accused also verbally abused and physically assaulted the complainant. On 16-07-2024, the complainant filed a case before Sigori Police Station. The accused were summoned and counseled, they were ordered not to engage in physical violence in future and to treat her well. After one

month, all the accused named in the complainant tortured her in various ways and snatched her belongings including jewelry and Mobile Phone. On 17-11-2024, all the accused persons, acting with a common intention and under a conspiracy assaulted the daughter of the complainant and tried to kill. As a result, the complainant's daughter suffered a severe brain injury and since then, her mental condition has not be stable. At night, all the accused together cast her out of the house. The complainant received information from Sigori P.S. that "your daughter's mental condition is not well." The complainant brought his daughter to his home from Police Station and her treatment is ongoing at BIMHAS Koilwar, Bhojpur. The complainant's daughter is still residing with the complainant. Despite many efforts and a community meeting organized by the complainant, the accused are still refusing to keep the complainant's daughter and state that they will only take her back when Rs. Ten Lakh are given. The accused, under a scheme and conspiracy, do not wish to keep the complainant's daughter. The complainant gave written information to this effect to Sigori P.S., but no action has been taken so far. Hence, this complaint case.

After filing the aforesaid complaint petition, the complainant was examined on solemn affirmation and the complainant has also produced four inquiry witnesses under Section 225 of the B.N.S.S. Thereafter, the learned court below has found prima facie case under Sections 85 read with Section 3(5) of the B. N.S. and Section 4 of the

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-II, Danapur

(Present :- Kshiprachala Anjalee, Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.)

A. B.P. No. 1460 of 2026.

(Arising out of Complaint Case No.408(C) of 2025)

Piyush Kumar Verma, son of Anil Kumar Singh, Resident of Village-Bijuliachak,
Police Station-Sigori, District-Patna Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar and another Opp.Parties.

Contd. 27-07-2026

- 4 -

D. P. Act against the accused persons namely Piyush Kumar Verma, Anil Kumar Singh and Sunita Kumari.

It is submitted and claimed on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has clean antecedent. Learned Addl. P.P. as well as learned lawyer of the complainant failed to produce the criminal antecedent against the petitioner.

Perused the record and the materials available on the record. On perusal of record, it transpires that the prosecution version finds support from it. It appears that the petitioner is the husband of the victim Anju Kumari. There is allegation of second marriage apart from another allegation. Petitioner has submitted in paragraph no.13 of the bail petition that he is ready to keep victim Anju Kumari as his wife, if she is in sound mind. Contrary to that, he has not taken any step to take his wife and failed to co-operate in the proceeding of the court. The allegation of mental illness, which is rebutted by the complainant by filing her educational certificate and producing the victim Anju Kumari in the court. The petitioner has not shown his willingness to compromise with any condition. Moreover, complainant has stated about assault being meted out the victim and he brought his daughter back from the Police Station. Incidentally alleged assault is nowhere denied by the accused. Apart from that it is submitted on behalf of the complainant by showing the photograph that the petitioner solemnized second marriage.

Having considered aforesaid including the facts and circumstance of the case, and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, who is the husband of the informant and also performed second marriage, this court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer of anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner **Piyush Kumar Verma** is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/

(Kshiprachala Anjalee)
Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.

