

**In the court of Sunil Kumar – III,
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge – VI, Danapur**

Regular Bail Petition No.- 798 of 2026
(arising out of Piplawan P.S. Case No. 96/2026)

Date of order 18.07.2026

Ram Nasib Singh, s/o- Lat shital Singh
r/o- Ahuyara, PS- Piplawan, District- Patna

.....**Accused-Petitioner.**

V/s

The State of Bihar.....Prosecution.

ORDER

18.07.2026

Present:

**Ld. Counsel Shri Abhiram Kumar, Advocate for the applicant accused.
Md. Kalam Ansari, Addl. P.P. for the State.**

1. This regular bail petition, filed on behalf of accused-petitioner, namely, Ram Nasib Singh is in judicial custody since 08.06.2026 in connection with Piplawan P.S. Case No. 96/2026, lodged U/s- 25(1-b)a/26/35 Arms Act.
2. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the applicant accused as well as the Ld. Public prosecutor for the state.
3. The applicant accused submitted that he is quite innocent and he has not committed any offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that no similar bail application is filed in this court or before the Hon'ble court. The applicant accused is in judicial custody since 08.06.2026 and he bears clean antecedent. The petitioner is an old and sick person. The applicant accused is ready to furnish sound surety.
4. During the course of hearing, case diary was called. Heard and perused the record. On perusal of the record, it is revealed that this case is registered on the basis of an application of the informant SI Chandeshwar Mahto wherein he stated that on 07.06.2026 00:35 AM, the informant was giving information by the officer in-charge Omprakash having illicit firearms and upon the said information he along with the other police officials went to the house of Omprakash and knocked of his house and door was open was by a person to whom the police party made request for affecting the search of the house and then the said person refused and objected for searching. Thereafter, informant tried to search the local independent person as witness but at that time being night none of the local witness was present and then he made the witness home-guard Rakesh Kumar and home-guard Umesh Prasad Thakur and started

**In the court of Sunil Kumar – III,
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge – VI, Danapur**

Regular Bail Petition No.- 798 of 2026
(arising out of Piplawan P.S. Case No. 96/2026)

Date of order 18.07.2026

searching is his house and made videography and during that search at the room of first house in the corner of south east under the box of the bed a two barrel gun, two country made pistols and eight cartridges out of which three cartridges of gun while five cartridges are of the said country made pistol and neither Omprakash role, Dhananjay role and his father Ram Nasib made any explanations and then the said recovered firearms were seized and seizure list was drawn up for which Omprakash, Dhananjay and Ram Nasib Singh made their signature and then they were arrested before their relatives and thereafter, they brought into police station.

5. On the other hand, the learned Addl. P.P. for the State opposed the prayer of bail.

6. During the course of hearing, case diary along with criminal history was called. Heard and perused the record. On perusal of the record, it appears that the case diary shows that the Investigating Officer (IO) recorded the further statement of the informant in para No. 4 of the case diary, IO also recorded the statement of the witnesses home-guard Rakesh Kumar and home-guard Umesh Prasad Thakur in para No. 5 and 6 respectively wherein they supported the allegation as levelled in the FIR. IO also recorded the statement (para No. 14) of the Sub-inspector Vijjanti Kumari who is the member of the raiding party and statement of Shailendra Kumar (para No. 15) they supported the allegation as levelled in the FIR. In para No. 37 of the case diary, IO stated that there is no previous criminal antecedent registered in police station Piplawan against the applicant accused Ram Nasib Singh. IO submitted the case diary upto para No. 62 dated 07.07.2026. On the other hand applicant accused filed some medical document along with the bail application but these documents are related to the year 1996, 1998 and 1999. In this case the informant regarding the fact that the SHO got information that Omprakash was possessing some illicit arms upon which the house of the applicant accused was raided and in the house and in the house at that time Omprakash, Dhananjay and their father Ram Nasib Singh along with their family members were there. IO booked three persons Omprakash, Dhananjay and Ram Nasib Singh in this case for the allegation that at first floor room of the house, there was some firearms and cartridges recovered. The applicant accused on the basis of the constructed liability that cannot be said to the exclusive possession only of the applicant accused where the applicant accused is the father of the other

**In the court of Sunil Kumar – III,
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge – VI, Danapur**

Regular Bail Petition No.- 798 of 2026
(arising out of Piplawan P.S. Case No. 96/2026)
Date of order 18.07.2026

co-accused persons Dhananjay and Omprakash. The raid was conducted in the local vicinity and no local person of the local vicinity is made the witness during the course of proceedings of the alleged recovery for which the applicant accused has not previous antecedent and he is in judicial custody since 08.06.2026.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances and period of custody, the applicant accused is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- along with two sureties of the like amount each, subject to condition 480(3) BNSS and one of the surety of applicant accused shall be close relative and the applicant accused shall join the investigation as and when directed by the IO. Let the copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Sunil Kumar - III
DASJ- VI, Danapur
18.07.2026

Copy forwarded to, Danapur

Vide memo no. dated