
Anticipatory Bail Petition No: 1228 of 2026
(arising out of Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 346 of 2026)
Date of order 04.06.2026

1. Sanjay Yadav, s/o- Kameshwar Yadav

2. Malti Devi, w/o- Sanjay Yadav

Both r/o- Dinachak, PS- Naubatpur, District- Patna

.....**Accused-Petitioners.**

V/s

The State of Bihar.....Prosecution.

ORDER

04.06.2026

Present:

Ld. Counsel Shri Ritesh Kumar Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioners.
Md. Kalam Ansari, Addl.P.P. for the State.

1 This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioners who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 346 of 2026, registered- U/S- 329(4), 126(2), 127(2), 303(2), 352, 3(5) BNS.

2. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the applicant accused as well as the Ld. Public prosecutor for the state.

3. The applicant accused submitted that they are quite innocent and they have not committed any offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that no similar bail application is filed in this court or before the Hon'ble court. It is further submitted that the applicant accused persons bear no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that the applicant accused persons and informant are family members. It is further submitted that the case is counterblast case and the matter has been compromised between them. The applicant accused persons are ready to furnish sound surety.

4. During the course of hearing, case diary was called. Heard and perused the record. On perusal of the record, it is revealed that this case is registered on the basis of an application of the informant Nitu Kumari wherein she stated that on 16.05.2026 at about 08:00 AM, when her husband had gone to drive his tempo meanwhile Sanjay

Anticipatory Bail Petition No: 1228 of 2026
(arising out of Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 346 of 2026)
Date of order 04.06.2026

Yadav and his wife Malti Devi stated abusing her, when she opposed to do so then they entered into her house and started assaulting her and meanwhile they snatched her golden chain and ear ring.

5. On the other hand, the learned Addl. P. P. for the State opposed the prayer of bail.

6. Heard and perused the record. On perusal of the record it appears that the matter allegedly has been compromised and the parties are the family members and informant of this case appeared before the court and stated that they are compromised the matter and a duly signed compromised petition attached on record. On perusal of the record it appears that the matter is related to family dispute between both parties.

Considering the facts and circumstances, the applicant accused persons are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest/surrender within 30 days from the receipt of this order on furnishing the bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- along with two sureties of the like amount each, subject to the condition as laid down U/S- 482(2) of BNSS and one of the surety of applicant accused persons shall be close relative and the applicant accused shall join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer. Let the copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Sunil Kumar - III,
DASJ – VI, Danapur
04.06.2026