

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(Present:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Danapur)

A.B.P. No. 1184 of 2026

(Arising out of Bihta P.S. Case No. 817 of 2020)

Nandan Mahto, son of Suresh Mahto, Resident of: Village- Amarpura, Bhawanichak,
P.S.- Naubatpur, Distt.- Patna Petitioner

Versus.

The State of Bihar. Opp.Party

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Jitendra Sharma, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

Order.

15-06-2026 This Anticipatory Bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bihta P.S. Case No. 817 of 2020, registered under Sections 307, 392 of the I.P.C. and 27 of Arms Act, pending in the Court of learned A.C.J.M.- II, Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication and this to be the first bail application of the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is also an accused in Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 331 of 2016, under Sections 302, 120(B) I.P.C. and 27 Arms Act and Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 593 of 2019 under Sections 386, 387, 120(B) I.P.C. and 27 Arms Act. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been made accused on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Ranjay Sao and Bhutan Paswan. No alleged looted cash, article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is ready to undertake that he will co-operate in investigation and trial of the case. In the light of the above submissions, learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Perused the record. On perusal of the record, it transpires that Investigation is still under progress. The petitioner has got two criminal antecedents of serious nature, which are Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 331 of 2016, under Sections 302, 120(B) I.P.C. and 27 Arms Act and Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 593 of 2019 under Sections 386, 387, 120(B) I.P.C. and 27 Arms Act. Therefore, considering these facts and the nature of gravity of the allegation which relates to commission of robbery in the night by opening gun fire upon one Dhananjay, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of Anticipatory Bail to this petitioner.

Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner **Nandan Mahto**, is hereby **rejected**.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Kumar Gunjan)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.