

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(Present:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Danapur)

A.B.P. No. 1001 of 2026

(Arising out of Paliganj P.S. Case No. 204 of 2026)

1. Rajkali Devi, wife of Late Munna Chauhan, Resident of: Village- Dharhara (Paliganj), P.S.- Paliganj, Distt.- Patna;
2. Kanchan Devi, wife of Ajeet Chaudhary, daughter of Late Munna Chauhan, Resident of: Naihar at village- Dharhara (Paliganj), P.S.- Paliganj, Distt.- Patna and Sasural at village- Noniya Bigha, P.S.- Chandi Satnang, Distt.- Nalanda;
3. Ajeet Chauhan, son of Raghunath Chauhan, Resident of: Village- Noniya Bigha, P.S.- Chandi Satnang, Distt.- Nalanda;

Petitioners

Versus.

The State of Bihar.

..... Opp.Party

Learned counsel for the petitioners :- Smt. Rina Kumari, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

Order.

30-06-2026 This Anticipatory Bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Paliganj P.S. Case No. 204 of 2026, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(3), 3(5) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication and this to be the first bail application of the petitioners, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner No. 2 and 3 have no criminal antecedents while the petitioner No. 1 is an accused in Paliganj P.S. Case No. 375 of 2020, in which she is on bail. It is further submitted that the informant is own *Gotani* of the petitioner No. 1 and the petitioner No. 2 is Jaidhi and petitioner No. 3 is son-in-law of Gotani and the petitioner No. 2 resides at her Sasural with her husband, petitioner No. 3. There is only general allegation against the petitioners. The petitioners are ready to undertake that they will co-operate in the investigation/trial. In the light of the above submissions, learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Perused the record. On perusal of the same, it transpires that there is only allegation against these petitioners that they abused the informant whereas the allegation of assault with an iron rod is directed specifically against the co-accused Sonu Chauhan and Monu Chauhan only. Injury Report is available along with the case diary. A Lacerated wound has been found on head of the victim Santosh Chauhan, which has been

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(P.O.:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur)

A.B.P. No. 1001 of 2026

(Arising out of Paliganj P.S. Case No. 204 of 2026)

Rajkali Devi & Ors. Versus. The State of Bihar.

Contd.

30-06-2026

- 2 -

found by doctor to be simple in nature and caused by hard and blunt substance. The petitioner No. 1 and 3 is lady and the petitioner No. 2 is the son-in-law of the petitioner No. 1, who is own *Gotani* of the informant.

Therefore, considering all these facts, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Hence, they are directed to be released, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the trial Court within Thirty (30) days from the date of this order upon their furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-with two sureties of like amount each subject to condition as mentioned in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Kumar Gunjan)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.