

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(Present:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Danapur)

B.P. No. 592 of 2026

(Arising out of Paliganj P.S. Case No. 179 of 2026)

Roushan Kumar, son of Dhananjay Ram, resident of:- Kelhanpur Gachha, Police Station-
Bihta, District- Patna, Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar. Opp.Party.

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Sunil Kumar Dubey, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

With

B.P. No. 603 of 2026

(Arising out of Paliganj P.S. Case No. 179 of 2026)

Harikant Kumar, son of Akilanand Sharma, resident of Village- Akhtiyarpur, Police
Station- Paliganj, District- Patna, Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar. Opp.Party.

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Abhiram Kumar, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

ORDER.

30-05-2026 The Regular Bail petition No. 592 of 2026 and B.P. No. 603 of 2026 arise out of same Paliganj P.S. Case No. 179 of 2026 and thus the same are being disposed of by this common order.

Heard the learned counsels for the petitioners above-named, who are in Judicial custody since 11-04-2026 in connection with Paliganj P.S. Case No. 179 of 2026 registered under Sections 309(4) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Danapur.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication and this to be the first bail application of the petitioners, learned counsels for the petitioners commonly submits that the petitioners are not named in the FIR and their names have been implicated in this case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused. No incriminating articles has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners. The petitioners have no concern with the alleged crime. It is further submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioner Roushan Kumar has no criminal antecedents while the petitioner Harikant Kumar is also an accused in Bikram P.S. Case No. 146 of 2026, Piplawan P.S. Case No. 52 of 2026 and Khirimore P.S. Case No. 104 of 2026. The petitioners are in custody since 11-04-2026 in this case. Hence, they may be enlarged on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the payer for bail.

Perused the record. On perusal of the same, it transpires that there is an allegation

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(P.O.:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.)

B.P. No. 592 of 2026 and B.P. No. 603 of 2026

(Arising out of Paliganj P.S. Case No. 179 of 2026)

Roushan Kumar and Anr. Versus. The State of Bihar

Contd.

30-05-2026.

- 2 -

that these petitioners have looted a bag containing mobile, laptop, bio-metric device, cash Rs. 1,50,000/- and other documents on gun point while the informant, who is a CSP Operator of Punjab National Bank, while he was coming back on a bike to his home along with his brother Shakti Singh. Name of these petitioners has come during the confession of co-accused Vishwajit Kumar. The petitioner Harikant Kumar was apprehended and as per para 23 and 24 of the case diary, he confessed of having destroyed the laptop, mobile, passbook and other documents and also disclosed that he had hid them behind the bathroom of the school. On the basis of this disclosure, I.O. has recovered a loaded pistol, a magazine, sixteen live cartridges, two empty cartridges, mobile phone and cash Rs. 1,09,080/- allegedly looted from the informant. This seizure has been videograph and CD is attached along with the case diary. The petitioner Roushan Kumar was also apprehended and as per para 32 of the case diary, he confessed that he has purchased the alleged bike, which was used in the aforesaid crime, from the petitioner Harikant Kumar and co-accused Chokha @ Vishwajeet Kumar @ Aarav Singh, Rakesh Kumar and Ravishankar Kumar @ Shirdhar @ Dev, in Rs. 25,000/- and they told him that the motorcycle is a stolen property. This bike was also recovered on the basis of disclosure made by this accused. Both these recoveries are admissible under Section 27 of The Indian Evidence Act, 1872.

Therefore, considering all these facts as well as the fact that the petitioner Harikant Kumar is having few more criminal antecedents as Bikram P.S. Case No. 146 of 2026, Piplawan P.S. Case No. 52 of 2026 and Khirimore P.S. Case No. 104 of 2026 are all of similar nature, I am not inclined to grant bail to the above-named petitioners **Roushan Kumar and Harikant Kumar**. Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the petitioners is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Kumar Gunjan)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.