

**In the court of Sunil Kumar – III,
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge – VI, Danapur**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No: 1062 of 2026

(Khagaul P.S. Case No. 70/2026)

Amit Kumar, s/o- Vijay Singh

r/o- Naya Tola, Madhopur, PS- Bakhtiyarpur, District- Patna

.....**Accused-Petitioner.**

V/s

The State of Bihar.....Opposite Party/Prosecution.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner.....Shri Dinesh Kumar, Advocate.

Learned Counsel for the State.....Shri Md. Kalam Ansari, Addl. P. P.

02-07-2026

1. This anticipatory bail petition, filed on behalf of the applicant accused, namely, Amit Kumar who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Khagaul P.S. Case No. 70/2026, lodged U/S- U/S- 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 3(5) BNS.

2. The applicant accused submitted that no previous bail application has filed before this court or before the Hon'ble court and he bears no previous criminal antecedents and it is further submitted that the applicant accused has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that there is vital contradictions in between fardbyan and formal FIR and there is no any independent witness present at the time of alleged occurrence. The petitioner is ready to furnish sound surety.

3. During the course of hearing, the trial court record as well as the case diary was called. Heard and perused the record. On perusal of the record, it is revealed that this case is registered on the basis of an application of the informant Ramesh Sharma who was centre superintendent of CTET(CBSE) examination of the centre head of DAV public school Khagaul Patna, wherein

**In the court of Sunil Kumar – III,
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge – VI, Danapur**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No: 1062 of 2026

(Khagaul P.S. Case No. 70/2026)

he stated that on 07.02.2026 a CTET exam was being conducted in two sessions. In first session 09:30 AM to 12:00 and noon during bio-metric verification it was found that the applicant accused Rajesh Kumar appeared in examination in place of Raj Kishore having roll No. 119102917, Abhinav Rana appeared in place of Nitish Kumar having roll No. 119103037 and in second session 02:30 to 05:00 PM Sonu Kumar appeared in examination in place of Amit Kumar having roll No. 119104236.

4. The learned Addl. P. P. for the State strongly opposed the regular bail.

5. During the course of hearing the trial court record as well as the case diary was called. Heard and perused the record. It appears that the this case is registered on the basis of an application of the informant Ramesh Sharma who was centre superintendent of CTET (CBSE) examination of DAV Public School, Khagaul Patna wherein he stated that on 07.02.2026 CTET (CBSE) examination was conducted by CBSE and in first session 09:30 to 12:00 noon, it was found on the basis of bio-metric examination that one candidate was appearing on behalf of another and when he was inquired, he disclosed his name Rajesh Kumar appeared appeared for Raj Kishore roll No. 119102917 and one candidate Abhinav Rana appeared on behalf of Nitish Kumar roll No. 119103037 and in the second session 02:30 to 05:00 PM one candidate Sonu Kumar appeared in place of candidate Amit Kumar roll No. 119104236 and the said accused persons Rajesh Kumar, Abhinav Rana and Sonu Kumar were apprehended and handed over to the police. On perusal of the case diary it appears that IO recorded the further statement of the informant in para No. 2 of the case diary wherein he supported the allegation as levelled in FIR. On perusal of the record It appears that for the said accused Amit Kumar, co-accused Sonu

**In the court of Sunil Kumar – III,
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge – VI, Danapur**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No: 1062 of 2026

(Khagaul P.S. Case No. 70/2026)

Kumar appeared and apprehended for the said applicant accused Amit Kumar who was having roll No. 119104236 which shows prima facie that the applicant accused and the outcome of the result of the said examination would be for the applicant accused Amit Kumar for which of the accused person name Sonu Kumar found impersonating him and thus the applicant accused Amit Kumar is also would be the main beneficiary and involved in the said occurrence for his benefit. However, the IO submitted the chargesheet against the accused persons apprehended on spot but he kept supplementary investigation pending against the rest accused persons including the applicant accused. The case still under investigation against the applicant accused.

6. Considering the prima facie involvement of the applicant accused for whom another co-accused named Sonu Kumar was apprehended appearing on his behalf. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances and at this stage, this court is not inclined to enlarge the applicant accused on anticipatory bail and thus this anticipatory bail is hereby **dismissed**. Let the copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Sunil Kumar - III,
DASJ – VI, Danapur
02.07.2026