
ABP No: 658/26 & ABP No. 845/26
(arising out of Rani Talab P.S. Case No. 38 of 2016)
Date of order 04th June, 2026

ABP No. 658/26
Rani Talab PS case No. 38/16

1. Ajay Kumar Pandey, s/o- Ram Awtar Pandey
2. Chanchala Devi, w/o- Ajay Kumar Pandey
Both r/o- Jamalpura, PS- Koilwar, District- Bhojpur(Ara)

.....**Accused-Petitioners.**

V/s

The State of Bihar.....Prosecution.

With
ABP No. 845/2026
Rani Talab PS case No. 38/16

1. Smt. Pratima Kumari, w/o- Sri Nagendra Rai
2. Nagendra Rai, s/o- **Late Ram Kishun Rai**
Both r/o- chasi, PS- Narayanpur, District- Bhojpur
3. Sandhya Sharma, w/o- Ramakant Sharma
4. Ramakant Sharma, s/o- Late Lalan Prasad Singh
Both r/o- Birla Colony, House No. 60 Phulwari, PS- Phulwari Sharif, District- Patna
.....**Accused-Petitioners.**

V/s

The State of Bihar.....Prosecution.

ORDER

04.06.2026

Present:

Ld. Counsel Shri Ashok Chaudhary, Advocate for the applicant accused
Persons in ABP No. 658/26 & Ld. Counsel Shri Sunil Kumar for the applicant
accused persons in ABP No. 845/26.
Md. Kalam Ansari, Addl.P.P. for the State.

1. These anticipatory bail petitions filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioners who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Rani Talab P.S. Case No. 38 of 2016, registered- U/S- 406/420/467/468/471/506/120(B)/34 IPC. Both the anticipatory bail petitions are related to same case as of **Rani Talab PS case No. 38 of 2016** and thus they are heard together.

2. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the applicant accused as well as the Ld. Public prosecutor for the state.

ABP No: 658/26 & ABP No. 845/26
(arising out of Rani Talab P.S. Case No. 38 of 2016)
Date of order 04th June, 2026

3. The applicant accused submitted that they are quite innocent and they have not committed any offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case without any reasons. It is also submitted that no similar bail application is filed in this court or before the Hon'ble court. It is further submitted that no criminal cases registered against the applicant accused persons. This case is a counter blast case and civil in nature. The applicant accused persons are ready to furnish sound sureties.

4. During the course of hearing the case diary was called. On perusal of the record, it appears that this case is registered on the direction of Ld. Magistrate in the light of section 156(3) Cr.P.C on the compliant case No. 227(C)/2016 wherein the complainant stated that the incident occurred since 03.10.2011 till 02.02.2012 and for the said occurrence he filed a complainant in the year 2016 stated therein that the applicant accused persons Sandhya Sharma, Chanchala Devi, Pratima Devi along with Dezi Devi, Lakhmania Kunwar and their husbands received rupees four lakhs for selling the land of the share of Lakhmania Kunwar and for which an agreement of sale was reduce in writing on 03.10.2011 upon which the applicant accused Sandhya Sharma made her signature as witness but whenever the informant/complainant tried to contact them for the execution of the sale deed but the accused persons made claim excuses of one pretext to another and they got the land of the share of Lakhmania Kunwar in their favour by way of sale deed dated 02.02.2012 and after sometime Lakhmania Devi died and when the complainant/informant contacted the accused persons upon which they promised to return back the amount paid to them and on 16.06.2015 one lakh rupees was return to the complainant through Dezi Devi and the informant was promised to pay him the rest amount in coming days but the remaining amount was never returned and they finally refused to pay the same and then on 25.02.2016 when the informant went to the house of the applicant accused Sandhya Sharma and asked for repayment of his money, all the accused persons became furious and started abusing and intimidated to kill her and then the applicant accused Ajay Kumar Pandey intimidated the witnesses of the informant that if they would intervene in the matter there would be end of their life.

5. On the other hand, the learned Addl. P. P. for the State opposed the prayer of bail.

6. During the course of hearing the case diary was called. On perusal of the record it shows that this case is registered u/s 406/420/467/468/471/506/120(B)/34 IPC and during the course of investigation the Investigating Officer recorded the statement of the informant Lokesh Narayan Prasad who supported the allegation levelled in his complaint, Investigating Officer (IO) also recorded the statement of the witness Bibhuti Nath in para No. 48 who also supported the allegation as levelled by the informant and he recorded

ABP No: 658/26 & ABP No. 845/26
(arising out of Rani Talab P.S. Case No. 38 of 2016)
Date of order 04th June, 2026

the statement of witness Chandrabhushan Prasad in the para No. 60 of the case diary who also stated that the accused persons did not return the balance amount nor they executed the sale deed. In para No. 71 and 74, it is shown that the applicant accused persons Ajay Kumar Pandey and Chanchala have no previous criminal antecedent. IO submitted the case diary upto para No. 75 dated 07.02.2026. In this case IO do not collect any of the documentary evidence during the course of investigation upto the produced case diary. The alleged occurrence was stated to be occurred since 03.10.2011 till 02.02.2011 but the complainant initiated to take action by filing of this complaint No. 227(C)/16 in the year 2016 later which was registered as an FIR in the light of the direction under section 156(3) Cr.P.C. The grassroot of the case is non payment of the balance amount related to the sale-purchase transaction of piece of land alleged to civil transactions besides this it appears that the applicant accused Ajay Kumar Pandey instituted an FIR No. 39/16 dated 07.04.2016 in police station Rani Talab against the informant herein and other persons.

Considering the facts and circumstances, the applicant accused persons are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest/surrender within 30 days from the receipt of this order on furnishing the bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- along with two sureties of the like amount each, subject to the condition as laid down U/S- 482(2) of BNSS and one of the surety of applicant accused persons shall be close relative and the applicant accused shall join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer. Let the copy of this order be sent to the court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Sunil Kumar - III,
DASJ – VI, Danapur
04.06.2026