

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.

Present :- Kshiprachala Anjalee, Additional Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.

A.B.P. No. 789 of 2026.

(Arising out of Danapur P.S. Case No. 87 of 2021).

Chandra Mohan Singh @ Chhotak Singh, son of Ram Singh, Resident of Village-Baina,
Police Station-Nawa Nagar, District-Buxar Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar.

..... Opp. Party.

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Pramod Kumar Singh, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad, (Addl. P.P.)

Order.

19-06-2026. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner, who apprehends his arrest in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 87 of 2021 registered under sections 326, 307 and 120(B) read with section 34 of the I.P.C. and section 27 of the Arms Act, pending in the Court of learned A.C.J.M.-I, Danapur.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that no any other bail petition either for grant of regular or anticipatory bail filed before this learned court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. It is further submitted that there is no any other bail petition is pending in any other court and this is the first bail application filed on behalf of the aforesaid petitioner. It is further submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged. He has further submitted that the informant lodged this case on the basis of concocted story. He has further submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. but his name surfaced during course of investigation in the confessional statement of apprehended accused Ranjan Pradip Kumar. Admittedly there is land dispute between the parties and the petitioner has no role in this crime. During investigation, no legal material has been collected by the I.O. against the petitioner lto show the involvement of the petitioner. The petitioner has clean antecedent. Lastly, he has submitted that the petitioner will fully co-operate in disposal of the case, and he will follow the order of the court and will physically appear in the court. So, the petitioner may be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner by saying that the allegation against him is very serious, so he does not deserves anticipatory.

As per F.I.R., the prosecution case, is that on 02-02-2021 at about 05.30 A.M. while the informant Ramadhar Prasad came out from his home for walking and reached near the house of Manindra Rai, then two persons came behind him and shot him in his left portion of the

back and he fell down there and the miscreants fled away. The further case is that the informant is a

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.

Present :- Kshiprachala Anjalee, Additional Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.

A.B.P. No. 789 of 2026.

(Arising out of Danapur P.S. Case No. 87 of 2021).

Chandra Mohan Singh @ Chhotak Singh, son of Ram Singh, Resident of Village-Baina,
Police Station-Nawa Nagar, District-Buxar Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Bihar.

..... Opp. Party.

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Pramod Kumar Singh, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad, (Addl. P.P.)

Contd. 19-06-2026

- 2 -

retired Army man and he has two sons, and seven days ago his younger son Sunil Kumar had demanded all papers of the lands and he had given him the xerox copies of all the papers. The further case is that there was a row between the informant and his son Sunil Kumar, as he had told to built a mud house and demanded half share in the house, situated a Mathiyapur. The further case of the informant that the brother-in-law of his son namely Dipu Kumar of Anand Bazar and his friend Bablu Kumar of Mainpur have fired upon him and committed the offence.

On the basis of the fardbeyan of the informant, the F.I.R. was lodged under sections 326, 307 and 120(B) read with section 34 of the I.P.C. and section 27 of the Arms Act.

It is submitted and claimed on behalf of the petitioner that he has clean antecedent and the learned Addl. P.P. has not produced criminal antecedents against the petitioner inspite of sufficient opportunities provided therefor.

On perusal of the case record, it is apparent that though the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. but his name surfaced during investigation in the confessional statement of co-accused Ranjan Pradip Kumar. There is allegation against the petitioner that he along with other co-accused Bablu Kumar shot fire on the informant with intention to kill him, but somehow the life of the informant was saved. The involvement of the petitioner revealed from paragraph nos. 18, 22 and 39 of the case diary. The investigation against the petitioner is still going on.

In view of the aforesaid discussions and considering the fact as well as the nature of injury being grievous, caused by gunshot injury, this court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner **Chandra Mohan Singh @ Chhotak Singh**. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/

(Kshiprachala Anjalee)

Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Danapur.