

In the court of Additional Sessions Judge - VI, Danapur

Sessions Trial No. 230 (A) of 2017

[Bihari P's. case no. 840 of 2016]

Order

21/03/2023

Present: Sonu Kumar, Accused in person

: Satyendra K. Yadav, Informant in person

: Ramkeshwar Pasad, 2d. Addl. P.P.

: Ashok Kumar Singh, 1d. Counsel for the Informant.

Today, an application dated 18/03/2023 informing the incident dated 17/03/2023 after the court-hours was filed on behalf of the Informant. As per the Informant's aforesaid application, he was threatened by the Accused and his counsel. Let the copy of this application be handed over to the accused for his response as to why action against them be^{not} initiated for the allegations contained in the application dated 18/03/2023. From the application, it appears Informant is in scaring and threaten state. He has also in earlier occasions lodged the similar complaints of threats and intimidation by the accused person. This time, the allegations are also against the counsel of the accused person. This is a serious allegation. Informant cannot be prevented from attending the proceedings. The copy of the application dated 18/03/2023 is handed over to the accused. Let the Accused reply this allegations. Accused is cautioned not to breach the bail bonds by threatening the witnesses.

Today, the case was fixed for the arguments on behalf of the accused persons. Accused persons is present in the court, but his counsel is not present. Court has waited for the arrival of the accused person's counsel whole day. Accused is submitting that he is contacting his counsel, but counsel is not responding. In my opinion, this is the lame excuse on behalf of the accused.

Today, one petition dated 21/03/23 is filed informing the court that the accused is going to the Hon'ble High Court for transfer of the trial from this court. Application was signed by the advocate - Ritesh Kumar. In this application, prayer was made to stay the trial. Perused the application. From the perusal of application, it is clear that accused has no intention to co-operate in the trial. His prayer for stay is declined. ^{and the application is dismissed.} He was given opportunity to put forward his arguments, however, it appears that he has no intention to avail the opportunity. However, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity, as the last and final opportunity is granted to the accused. Put up this case on 24/03/2023 for the arguments on behalf of the accused.

B 2
ADJ-VI
21/03/2023