

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(Present:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Danapur)

A.B.P. No. 458 of 2026

(Arising out of Bikram P.S. Case No. 50 of 2026)

1. Ajay Kumar, son of Raj Bhog Noniya,
2. Hare Ram Kumar, son of Kamlesh Noniya,
All Resident of: Village- Pakhanpura, Police Station- Bikram, District- Patna,
..... Petitioners

Versus.

The State of Bihar. Opp.Party
Learned counsel for the petitioners :- Sri Sanjay Kumar, (Advocate)
Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

Order.

21-04-2026 This Anticipatory Bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 50 of 2026, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 3032), 74, 351(2), 352 read with 3(5) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of learned A.C.J.M.- VI, Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication and clean antecedents, ld. Counsel for the petitioners submit that this is the counter blast case of Bikram P.S. Case No. 49 of 2026 and the informant has brought the present case only for save his skin and his family members. The allegation alleged by the informant is an imaginary story and there is no specific allegation against the petitioners. In the light of the above submissions, Ld. counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the payer for bail.

Perused the record. On perusal of the same, it transpires that despite issuance of letter by this Court, Investigating Officer has not produced the case diary. This bail petition is pending for hearing since 28-02-2026 and repeated reminders has been given to APP to produce the case diary, but all these efforts are failed to awaken the prosecution, more particularly the I.O. from deep slumber. As per the direction of the Hon'ble Apex Court and High Court, Anticipatory Bail petitions are required to be disposed expeditely, i.e. within a maxium period of six weeks, which has already expired in this case. Further adjournment would only amount to jeopardising the right to life and personal liberty of the petitioner. Allegation pretends to outraging the modesty of the informant's sister, assault and theft. The parties are having some dispute relating to

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(P.O.:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur)

A.B.P. No. 458 of 2026

(Arising out of Bikram P.S. Case No. 50 of 2026)

Ajay Kumar & Anr. Versus. The State of Bihar.

Contd.

21-04-2026

- 2 -

produce of the rice crops as per FIR of the itself. As per submission of the petitioners in para 7 of his petition mother of the petitioner has earlier filed a case bearing Bikram P.S. Case No. 49/2026 against the informant and his family members. The present case appears to be counter blast of the same. As per para 3 of the bail petition, these petitioners have clean antecedents. None production of the case diary for more than six weeks leads this Court to only conclusion that the I.O. has not collected any material against the petitioner till date.

Therefore considering all these facts, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Hence, they are directed to be released, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the trial Court concerned within Thirty (30) days from the date of this order upon their furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each subject to condition as mentioned in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Court for information and needful and a copy of this order be also sent to the SSP, Patna and DGP Patna for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Kumar Gunjan)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.