

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(Present:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Danapur)

A.B.P. No. 450 of 2026

(Arising out of Maner P.S. Case No. 93 of 2026)

1. Roushan Paswan @ Roushan Kumar, son of Bhushan Paswan,
 2. Swaraj Paswan @ Swaraj Kumar, son of Om Prakash Paswan,
 3. Shanker Paswan @ Shanker Kumar, son of Chitranjan Paswan,
- All Resident of: Sattar, Police Station- Maner, District- Patna,

..... Petitioners

Versus.

The State of Bihar.

..... Opp.Party

Learned counsel for the petitioners :- Sri Swadesh Kumar Arya, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

Order.

21-04-2026 This Anticipatory Bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Maner P.S. Case No. 93 of 2026, registered under Sections 329(3), 329(4), 115(2), 303(2), 75 read with 3(5) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of Md. Arbaz Ansari, learned J.M.- Ist Class, Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication and clean antecedents, Id. Counsel for the petitioners submit that the allegations are general, vague and omnibus in nature. All the Sections are bailable in nature except Section 303(2) and 75 of the B.N.S., which is a super addition made only with a view to harass and humiliate the petitioners. It is further submitted that one Kamlesh Sao has lodged a case vide Maner P.S. case No. 90 of 2026 against the son and nephew of the informant and only with to put pressure on the witnesses and villagers, informant falsely roped the petitioners in this false case. In the light of the above submissions, Id. counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Perused the record. On perusal of the same, it transpires that despite issuance of letter by this Court, Investigating Officer has not produced the case diary. This bail petition is pending for hearing since 28-02-2026 and repeated reminders has been given to APP to produce the case diary, but all these efforts are failed to awaken the prosecution, more particularly the I.O. from deep slumber. As per the direction of the

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(P.O.:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur)

A.B.P. No. 450 of 2026

(Arising out of Maner P.S. Case No. 93 of 2026)

Roushan Paswan @ Roushan Kumar & Ors. Versus. The State of Bihar.

Contd.

21-04-2026

- 2 -

Hon'ble Apex Court and High Court, Anticipatory Bail petitions are required to be disposed expeditely, i.e. within a maximum period of six weeks, which has already expired in this case. Further adjournment would only amount to jeopardising the right to life and personal liberty of the petitioner. All allegations are general and omnibus in nature relating to assault and theft. Non production of the case diary for more than six weeks leads this Court to only conclusion that the I.O. has not collected any material against the petitioner till date.

Therefore considering all these facts, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Hence, they are directed to be released, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the trial Court concerned within Thirty (30) days from the date of this order upon their furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-with two sureties of like amount each subject to condition as mentioned in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Court for information and needful and a copy of this order be also sent to the SSP, Patna and DGP Patna for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Kumar Gunjan)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.