

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-04, DANAPUR

Bail Petition No. 285 of 2026 (Bikram P.S. case No. 61/2026)

Congress Kumar, aged about 28 years, s/o Rayjee Sharma, Resident of Kanchan Nagar, Durgapur, P.S. Puraini, Distt. Madhepura

..... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Bihar.....O.P

Lawyer for the accused; Sri Anand Kumar (Adv.)

Lawyer for the State; Sri Kalam Ansari, Addl. P.P. and Sri Jitendra Prasad (Adv.) for informant

Present: - Naghma Tarannum, Addl. Sessions Judge-04, Danapur

ORDER

13-04-2026	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The present bail application has been filed under Sections 483 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) on behalf of the accused–petitioner Congress Kumar, who is in judicial custody since 10.02.2026 in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 61 of 2026 dated 08.02.2026, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State. Perused the case diary and the materials available on record.3. The prosecution case, as emerging from the FIR lodged by the informant Madhu Sinha, Principal Himalayan Residential School, Bikram, Patna on the basis of a written complaint dated 08.02.2026, discloses that in the first sitting of CTET Examination 2026, in room no. 06 in place of candidate Priyesh Kumar s/o Raj Kishor Sharma, bearing Roll. No. 119215800 the accused petitioner Congress Kumar s/o Shree Rayjee Sharma was found to be giving the said examination, who was verified by the Biometric Attendance Agency.4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the accused petitioner is quite innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. The allegations are totally false, exaggerated. That the petitioner is in custody since 10.02.2026 and undertakes	Contd.
-------------------	--	--------

Contd.
13-04-2026

to abide by all conditions imposed by the Court. Hence prayed for considering the bail on the grounds stated above.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the bail application, submitting that the allegations are very serious in nature as it affects the entire selection process.
6. Upon consideration of the rival submissions and perusal of the case diary, it prima facie appears that the allegations against the petitioner are not vague or bald in nature. The materials collected during investigation indicate systematic false representation by the petitioner as genuine candidate under the guise of providing employment. The offences alleged are serious in nature, involve moral turpitude and have a significant social impact.
7. The allegations, if taken at face value, clearly disclose the ingredients of cognizable criminal offences under the BNS. The defence raised by the petitioner requires appreciation of evidence, which is not permissible at the stage of consideration of bail.
8. Further, the investigation is still in progress and release of the petitioner at this stage may adversely affect the fair course of investigation. Considering the nature and gravity of the accusation, the manner of commission of the alleged offence, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail at this stage.
9. Accordingly, the bail application filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner **Congress Kumar is rejected.**
10. It is, however, observed that nothing stated herein shall be construed as an expression on the merits of the case at the stage of trial. The Investigating Officer shall proceed with the investigation strictly in accordance with law.
11. **Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the concerned court forthwith.**

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-04, DANAPUR

Bail Petition No. 285 of 2026 (Bikram P.S. case No. 61/2026)

	<p style="text-align: center;">(Naghma Tarannum) Addl. Sessions Judge-IV, Danapur</p>	
--	--	--