

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(Present:- Sri Bhupendra Singh, Addl. Sessions Judge, Danapur)

B.P. No. 261 of 2026

(Arising out of Danapur P.S. Case No. 177 of 2025)

Manish Kumar @ Chandan Kumar @ Sonu Kumar, son of Surendra Ray, Resident of Village- Bhagwatipur, Ward No.- 02, Police Station- Shahpur, District- Patna,

..... Petitioner

Versus.

The State of Bihar.

..... Opp.Party

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Anand Kumar, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

ORDER.

06-03-2026 This Regular Bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner, who is in Judicial custody since 28-05-2025 in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 177 of 2025 registered under Sections 304, 3(5) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of learned A.C.J.M.-I, Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that earlier no any anticipatory or regular bail petition has been filed or moved either before this learned Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna and it is the first bail application of the petitioner and no any bail petition is pending in any Court. It is further submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no any offence. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Ribhu @ Saumayak Kumar. It is also submitted that the co-accused Ribhu @ Saumayak Kumar have been granted bail vide order dated 31-07-2025 and the certified copy of the same was annexed with the petition. The petitioner is in custody since 28-05-2025. Hence, he may be enlarged on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the payer for bail.

As per F.I.R., on 16-02-2025, when the informant Sandhya Kumari was going to purchase milk from a nearby shop, two bike borne miscreants snatched her ear-rings and fled away. The informant was carrying a small child and due to which she could not follow them. She made hullah but the miscreants fled away. As per written report of the informant, the F.I.R. was lodged under Sections 304 read with 3(5) of the B.N.S.

It is submitted and disclosed on behalf of the petitioner that he is also an accused in Danapur P.S. Case No. 234 of 2025, 174 of 2021, 326 of 2025, Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 153 of 2025 and 745 of 2010 and the case diary also shows the criminal antecedents of the petitioner.

Perused the case diary. On perusal of the same, it transpires that the prosecution version finds support from it. During investigation the co-accused Aman Kumar @

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(P.O.:- Sri Bhupendra Singh, Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur)

B.P. No. 261 of 2026

(Arising out of Danapur P.S. Case No. 177 of 2025)

Manish Kumar @ Chandan Kumar @ Sonu Kumar Versus. The State of Bihar

Contd.

06-03-2026

- 2 -

Chhotu was apprehended in Danapur P.S. Case No. 234 of 2025, in which he confessed his involvement in the alleged occurrence of instant case also along with the petitioner Manish Kumar @ Chandan @ Sonu and co-accused Krishna Yadav @ Rahul Kumar. He also told that he used to sell the stolen ornaments to the owner of jewellery shop named as 'Gauri Jewellers' the co-accused Ribhu and he used to melt the same and they all earn money from the same. He also told the police that he was not involved in the occurrence dated 16-02-2025 but the same was committed by Manish and Krishna. The co-accused Ribhu @ Saumayak Kumar was also apprehended and he confessed that he purchased two ear-rings from the petitioner Manish Kumar and co-accused Krishna Yadav @ Rahul Kumar and by cutting the same, he had kept said ear-rings at Gauri-Jewellers, which was recovered by the police. The seizure list was prepared accordingly. There is nothing in the record except the confessional statement of co-accused Aman Kumar @ Chhotu to show the involvement of the petitioner in the alleged occurrence. The charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner and other co-accused under Sections 304, 317(2) read with 3(5) of the B.N.S. and the charge has been framed in the case as such there is no chance of tampering of evidence. The petitioner is in custody since 28-05-2025 in this case.

Having considered aforesaid including the facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named **Manish Kumar @ Chandan Kumar @ Sonu Kumar**, be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, subject to the conditions that :-

1. One of the bailors must be the resident of the native place of the accused-petitioner,
2. The petitioner shall not commit similar offence in future,
3. If at any stage of the case it is revealed to the Court concerned that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents to obtain bail, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court concerned,
4. The petitioner shall fully co-operate in the trial of the case and shall be properly represented before the Court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Bhupendra Singh)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.