

**COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VII, DANAPUR**

Present: Kumar Gunjan

**Regular Bail Petition No. 225 of 2026**

1. Dhanu Tripathi @ Kaviranjn Kumar aged about 22 years son of Late Bidya Bhushan Tripathi.

Petitioner

W I T H

**Regular Bail Petition No. 230 of 2026**

1. Md. Tipu aged about 32 years son of Sabir

Petitioner

Vrs.

**The State of Bihar.**

**17.03.2026**

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State on both bail petitions filed on behalf of above named petitioners who are in judicial custody since 03.01.2026 and 29.01.2026 respectively in connection with Neora P.S Case No. 17 of 2026 for the offences u/s 304, 317(2) of B.N.S., which has been registered on the basis of self statement of informant Rohit Kumar. Both bail petitions arise out of same F.I.R and have been heard together. Hence, both are being disposed of by this common order.

Allegation against the petitioners is that he along with other accused persons allegedly snatched the mobile phone of the informant.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication and clean antecedent. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. In the light of the above submissions, Ld. Counsel prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

The learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

Heard both sides and perused the record. The offence are punishable for less than seven years of imprisonment. As per the seizure

**COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VII, DANAPUR**

Present: Kumar Gunjan

list the seizure has not been made from the possession of the petitioner rather the alleged mobile has been presented by the informant to the police. Petitioners have got clean antecedent.

Therefore considering all these facts, nature of allegations, period of custody and the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **S.K. Antil vs Central Bureau of Investigation**, I find it proper to enlarge them on bail. Accordingly their prayer for bail is allowed.

Hence petitioners are directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court. The petitioners are also directed to cooperate in trial and remain present on each date till framing of charge and upon failure to appear on two consecutive dates the trial court would be at liberty to cancel their bail.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the trial court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

**(Kumar Gunjan)**

Addl. Sessions Judge-VII, Danapur