

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(Present:- Sri Bhupendra Singh, Addl. Sessions Judge, Danapur)

B.P. No. 217 of 2026

(Arising out of Dulhin Bazar P.S. Case No. 222 of 2025)

Sushil Kumar @ Sunil Kumar Paswan, son of Nepali Paswan, Resident of Village-
Rakashiya, Police Station- Dulhin Bazar, District- Patna, Petitioner

Versus.

The State of Bihar.

.....

Opp.Party

Learned counsel for the petitioner

:- Sri Jitendra Prasad, (Advocate)

Learned counsel for the State

:- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

ORDER.

16-03-2026 This regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioner, who is in Judicial custody since 26-01-2026 in connection with Dulhin Bazar P.S. Case No. 222 of 2025 registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 117(2), 352 and 351(2) of the B.N.S., pending in the Court of Miss Archana, learned J.M.-Ist Class, Danapur.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that earlier an A.B.P. No. 2377 of 2025 was filed which was withdrawn and thereafter no any anticipatory or regular bail petition has been filed either before this learned Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna and it is the first bail application of the petitioner and no any bail petition is pending in any Court. It is further submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no any offence. The allegation leveled against the petitioner is totally false and baseless. The petitioner has no hand in the alleged occurrence and there is no eye witness of the case. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 26-01-2026. Hence, he may be enlarged on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the payer for bail.

As per FIR, on 01-09-2025, the petitioner called the informant's son Saurabh Kumar by phone and he went to meet him but on the same day at about 08:00 P.M., he returned, covered with blood and told him that due to dispute of some money, the petitioner has assaulted him by iron rod and broke his teeth and also damaged his mobile phone and when the villagers gathered, he fled away threatening him. On the basis of written report of the informant Gyanti Devi, the F.I.R. was lodged against the petitioner under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 117(2), 352 and 351(2) of the B.N.S.

Perused the case diary. On perusal of the same, it transpires that the prosecution version finds support from it. The injury report has annexed with the case diary shows the fracture of anterior mandible and loss of upper three incisor and lower left incisor and the nature of injury has been shown as grievous. So for the injury on head is concerned, it has been reported that no gross abnormality seen. The charge-sheet has also been submitted against the petitioner under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 117(2), 352 and 351(2) of the B.N.S. and as such there is no chance of tampering of evidence. It is submitted and

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur

(P.O.:- Sri Bhupendra Singh, Addl. Sessions Judge, Danapur)

B.P. No. 217 of 2026

(Arising out of Dulhin Bazar P.S. Case No. 222 of 2025)

Sushil Kumar @ Sunil Kumar Paswan versus The State of Bihar.

Contd.

16-03-2026

- 2 -

claimed on behalf of the petitioner that he has no criminal antecedents and the learned APP also failed to produce criminal antecedents of the petitioner inspite of sufficient opportunity provided therefor. The petitioners are in custody since 26-01-2026.

Having considered aforesaid including the period of custody of the petitioner and his clean antecedents, let the above-named petitioner **Sushil Kumar @ Sunil Kumar Paswan**, be released on bail, **once charge is framed**, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, subject to the conditions that :-

1. One of the bailors must be the resident of the native place of the accused-petitioner,
2. The petitioner shall not commit similar offence in future,
3. If at any stage of the case it is revealed to the Court concerned that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents to get bail, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court concerned and
4. The petitioner shall fully cooperate in the investigation/trial of the case and shall be properly represented before the Court concerned.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Bhupendra Singh)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.