

# **In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur**

(Present:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge- I, Danapur)

## **A.B.P. No. 253 of 2026**

(Arising out of Bihta P.S. Case No. 411 of 2024)

1. Roushan Vishwakarma, son of Krishna Vishwakarma,  
2. Kundan Kumar @ Kundan Vishwakarma, son of Krishna Vishwakarma,  
Both Resident of: Village- Kanhaiyaganj, Police Station- Aungri, District- Nalanda,  
..... Petitioners

Versus.

The State of Bihar. .... Opp.Party  
Learned counsel for the petitioners :- Sri Shashikant Yadav, (Advocate)  
Learned counsel for the State :- Sri Ramkeshwar Prasad (A.P.P.)

### **Order.**

**17-04-2026** This Anticipatory Bail petition has been filed on behalf of the above-named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bihta P.S. Case No. 411 of 2024 registered under Sections 406, 420, 379 of the I.P.C., pending in the Court of learned A.C.J.M.- I, Danapur.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication and clean antecedents, ld. Counsel for the petitioners submit that they are mechanics of the roller coaster ride, who have repaired the informant's roller coaster of the water park and demanded their labour charge after which the present case has been lodged by the informant only to blackmail and pressurize the petitioners to carry out regular maintenance and repair work at an unreasonably low cost. In the light of the above submissions, Ld. counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the payer for bail.

Perused the record. On perusal of the same, it transpires that this Anticipatory Bail is pending for hearing and order since 31-01-2026. Several reminders has been given to A.P.P. to produce the case diary. Notice has also been issued to the informant and a letter has also been sent to the I.O. for production of the case diary by the Court but none of the efforts have yielded in moving the I.O./ prosecution to produce the case diary. As per the direction of the Hon'ble Apex Court and High Court, Anticipatory Bail petitions are required to be disposed expeditely, i.e. within a maxium period of six weeks, which has already expired in this case. Further adjournment would only amount to jeopardising the right to life and personal liberty of the petitioner. The offences alleged in FIR are

# **In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-I, Danapur**

*(P.O.:- Sri Kumar Gunjan, Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur)*

**A.B.P. No. 253 of 2026**

*(Arising out of Bihta P.S. Case No. 411 of 2024)*

*Roushan Vishwakarma & anr. Versus. The State of Bihar.*

-----  
Contd.

17-04-2026

- 2 -

punishable for less than seven years of imprisonment and relates to non performance of a contract relating to installation of instrument in the Water Park, which has got a civil purport. None production of the case diary for more than six weeks leads this Court to only conclusion that the I.O. has not collected any material against the petitioner till date.

Therefore considering all these facts, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Hence, they are directed to be released, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the trial Court within Thirty (30) days from the date of this order upon their furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-with two sureties of like amount each subject to condition as mentioned in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Court for information and needful and a copy of this order be also sent to the SSP, Patna and DGP Patna for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

(Kumar Gunjan)

Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Danapur.