

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VII, DANAPUR.

Present: Kumar Gunjan

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 195 of 2026

(Arising out of Neora P.S. Case No. 179 of 2025)

Aradhna Pandey & ors. Petitioners

Vs

The State of Bihar Prosecution

12.03.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners namely 1. Aradhna Pandey aged about 31 years wife of Sachin Mishra, 2. Sishir Mishra @ Shashi Pravash Mishra aged about 51 years son of Rajendra Shankar Mishra, 3. Piyush Mishra aged about 21 years son of Shashi Prakash Mishra who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Neora P.S. Case No. 179 of 2025 for the offences u/s 316(2), 318(4), 306, 303(2), 3(5) of BNS.

Apart from pleading innocence, false implication and clean antecedent the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner No. 1 was an employee of S.S. College of Teacher Education. The petitioner No. 2 and 3 have no employment relationship, contractual or otherwise with the said educational institution. The main allegations in the FIR are not directed against the petitioners but against one Sachin Mishra. The money which was received in the petitioners bank account were being operated and controlled entirely by Sachin Mishra, not by the petitioner. The amounts received in the petitioner's account were being converted into cash and delivered to the informant/college by Sachin Mishra. In the light of the above submissions, Ld. counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Heard both sides and perused the record. There is allegation against the petitioners is that they along with have illegally obtained money from the students in his account through online transfer or UPI transfer for which none of the students were granted any receipts. It is alleged that in his manner more than 15 lacs has been received by the petitioners. The petitioners are relatives of main accused Sachin Mishra. Petitioner No. 1 is wife of main accused in whose account Rs. 2,43,660/- is said to have been obtained from the students which is described in para 39 and account statements attached with the case diary. Petitioner No. 2 and 3 are not a public servant therefore offence under Section 316(5) is not attracted them and rest all other sections are punishable for less than seven years of imprisonment but the I.O. has not complied the directions passed by the Hon'ble

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VII, DANAPUR.

Present: Kumar Gunjan

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 195 of 2026

(Arising out of Neora P.S. Case No. 179 of 2025)

Aradhna Pandey & ors. Petitioners

Vs

The State of Bihar Prosecution

Court in the case of Hon'ble Apex Court in **Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar** and not issued any notice under Section 35(3) of B.N.S.S. Petitioner No. 1 is a lady and the main allegation is directed against her husband Sachin Mishra.

Therefore considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as ratio of judgments laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar**, I find it proper to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail is **allowed**.

Hence, petitioners are directed to be released, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court below within four weeks from the communication of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Magistrate and also subject to condition mentioned in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. However petitioners are directed to present before the court on each and every date and co-operate in trial and in case he fails to remain physically present for two consecutive dates or lingering the trial in any manner then petitioner shall make themselves liable for cancellation of his bail.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the trial court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Kumar Gunjan)

Addl. Sessions Judge VII,
Danapur.