

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, DANAPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 274 of 2026 (Bihta P.S. Case No. 70/2026)

1. Rohit Kumar @ Rohit Nat, s/o Ram Kumar Nat,
2. Ajay Nat, s/o Late Ranjan NatHarilal Sah, r/o- do,
3. Savitri Devi, w/o Ram Kumar Nat, All are r/o vill. Sadisopur, P.S. Bihta, Distt. Patna
..... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of BiharO.P.

Lawyer for the accused; Sri..... (Adv.)

Lawyer for the State; Sri Kalam Ansari (Addl. P.P.),

Present: - Naghma Tarannum Addl. Sessions Judge-04, Danapur

ORDER

02-04-2026	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The present application has been preferred on behalf of the petitioners named above, apprehending their arrest in connection with Bihta P.S. Case No. 70 of 2026, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 333, 109, 74, 303, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.2. The prosecution case as disclosed from the typed complaint given by the informant, Nagvanti Devi, to the SHO Bihta on 21.01.2026 in brief is that, on 20.01.2026 at about 9.00 p.m accused Rohit Nat entered into her house and with intent to outrage her modesty attempted to lure her. Upon her resistance the other accused persons Kundan Nat, Ram Kumar Nat, Savitri Devi, Ajay Nat and Sunita Devi came there and started abusing them in filthy language and assaulted her blind husband and other family members. There is specific allegation against the accused Rohit Nat and Kundan Nat for assaulting the husband of the informant with butt of pistols and causing him seriously injured. It is further alleged that, the accused persons also took away some jewellery from her.3. The Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no	Contd.
------------	--	--------

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, DANAPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 274 of 2026 (Bihta P.S. Case No. 70/2026)

<p>Contd. 02-04-2026</p>	<p>offence and have been falsely implicated due to long standing family dispute between them. No any occurrence as such has taken place, therefore the entire case is based on mere conjectures and surmises. It is contended that the informant and accused petitioners are close relatives and the instant case has just been instituted with view to put pressure upon the petitioners to resolve their family disputes only. The entire allegation of assault as well as the attempt to outrage the modesty of the informant is vague and omnibus and made to make the offences graver one. It is further submitted that the investigation has substantially progressed and no custodial interrogation is further required, they are ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court. Hence pray to be enlarged on bail.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned Additional Public Prosecutor has strongly opposed the prayer for bail. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioners are very serious in nature, therefore do not deserve any leniency.</p> <p>5. I have given my anxious consideration to the rival submissions and perused the case diary. Admittedly, the complainant and the petitioners are close relatives. Nothing incriminating has been discovered during the course of investigation. Para 45 of the case diary discloses the injury reports of the alleged victims wherein all the injuries are found to be simple in nature. Para 54 and 55 of the case diary mentions the statement of the independent witnesses examined during the course of investigation, wherein they have not fully corroborated the prosecution case. The investigation of the case has substantially</p>	<p>Contd.</p>
------------------------------	--	---------------

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, DANAPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 274 of 2026 (Bihta P.S. Case No. 70/2026)

<p>Contd. 02-04-2026</p>	<p>progressed though charge sheet is yet to be filed. Therefore, I am of the considered view that, the petitioners deserve the privilege of pre arrest bail in this case.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from today, the petitioner, (1) Rohit Kumar @ Rohit Nat, (2) Ajay Nat, and (3) Savitri Devi shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, in connection with Bihta P.S. Case No. 70 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 BNSS, and further conditions that:</p> <p>7. (i) The petitioners shall cooperate with the trial and appear before the trial court on each and every date fixed unless exempted. (ii) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly induce, threaten or promise any person acquainted with the facts of the case. (iv) In case of violation of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.</p> <p>8. It is made clear that any observation made herein is only for the purpose of disposal of the present anticipatory bail application and shall not prejudice the trial on merits.</p> <p>9. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the court concerned forthwith.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Naghma Tarannum) Addl. Sessions Judge-IV, Danapur</p>	
------------------------------	--	--

[Type here]

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, DANAPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 274 of 2026 (Bihta P.S. Case No. 70/2026)