

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge III, Danapur, Patna

Present: Manoj Kumar Srivastava, A.S.J.-III,

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 124/2026

Arising out of Maner P.S. Case No. 201/2024

1. Murari Rai, aged about 45 years, S/o Late Sarda Rai @ Sardha Rai,
2. Ravi Rai @ Ravi Kumar, aged about 24 years, S/o Murari Rai,
3. Gyanti Devi, aged about 40 years, W/o Murari Rai,
all R/o Vill Madhopur, P.S. Maner, Dist PatnaPetitioners.

v r s.

The State of BiharProsecution.

On behalf of Petitioners: Mr. Mahesh Kumar, Ld. Advocate

On behalf of Opposite Party: Md. Kalam Ansari, Ld. A.P.P.

ORDER

12-03-2026

01. The petitioners have filed the present anticipatory bail petition, as they are apprehending their arrest in connection with the present Maner P.S. Case No. 201/2024, dt. 27/03/2024, registered U/Ss. 341, 323, 307, 504, 34 of the I.P.C., which is pending in the Court of Md. Arbaz Ansari, J.M.F.C., Danapur.

02. The prosecution case, as per, the written-application of informant Ritik Raushan Pandey, in brief, is as such: On 26/03/2024, at about 10:00 A.M., the informant was at his home. In the meantime the F.I.R. named accused persons having lathi, danda, bricks came at the house of informant and started abusing. On protest, the accused persons started assaulting. Accused Murari Rai assaulted the informant with iron rod and caused head injury to the informant. Accused Gyanti Devi also assaulted the informant on head with brick. On commotion, the nearby people gathered there and on seeing them, the accused persons fled away. On the basis of written report of the informant, F.I.R. was lodged and investigation started.

03. The learned lawyer of the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent having clean criminal antecedent and have committed no offence as alleged and the allegations leveled against them are totally false and baseless. Further earlier to this, the petitioners have not filed any bail application for grant of regular bail or anticipatory bail in this court or in the Hon'ble High Court in this case and no bail application of the petitioners is pending in any court. Further submitted that both parties belong to same village. Further the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case by the informant to give undue pressure. Further the entire allegations are omnibus in nature and there is no direct and specific allegation against the petitioners. Further all the alleged sections are bailable except section 307 of the I.P.C. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide any condition or conditions as laid down u/s 482(2)

Cont....

B.N.S.S., 2023 and also ready to furnish bail-bond with proper sureties. So, the petitioners may be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

04. The learned Addl. P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

05. Heard the Ld. Lawyers of the respective parties and perused the case record.

06. From perusal of the F.I.R., it appears that the present case has been lodged for the offence U/Ss. 341, 323, 307, 504, 34 of the I.P.C. As per F.I.R., there is specific allegation on petitioner no. 1 that he caused head injury to the informant with iron rod. Further there is specific allegation on petitioner no. 3 that she caused head injury to the informant with brick. So far case diary is concerned, the court finds that during hearing of this bail application several directions have been issued to the concerned I.O. of this case to submit the case diary such as letter no. 132 dated 24/01/2026 and reminder letter no. 215 dated 16/02/2026, but the police officer dared not to produce the case diary. Let his negligence be reported to the concerned senior police officer for departmental action. On perusal of entire materials on record, the court finds that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents. Further there is specific allegation against petitioner nos. 1 and 3 that they caused head injury to the informant by iron rod and brick respectively. However there is no specific allegation against petitioner no.2. There is no injury report available on record. Further investigation of the case is pending and iota of evidence is yet to come.

07. Considering the aforesaid fact and the nature of allegations, the **A.B.P. filed on behalf of the petitioner no.2 Ravi Rai @ Ravi Kumar is allowed.** However so far petitioner nos. 1 and 3 are concerned, considering the specific allegation on them to cause head injury to the informant, **A.B.P. filed on behalf of the petitioner nos. 1 Murari Rai and 3 Gyanti Devi is rejected.**

08. Accordingly, it is ordered that in the event of his arrest or surrender before the concerned court within 30 days from the date of this order, the petitioner no. 2 Ravi Rai @ Ravi Kumar shall be released on bail on furnishing of bail-bond of Rs.10,000/- with two security of like amount each with condition laid down U/S 482(2) B.N.S.S., 2023 to the satisfaction of Ld. Court below. O/C is directed to send a copy of this order to the Court below and a copy of the same be uploaded in C.I.S.

(Dictated)

(Manoj Kumar Srivastava)

Addl. S. J. 3rd, Danapur
12-03-2026

Memo No...../ Dated...../...../.....

Copy forwarded to

The Court of.....

Seal of the Court

Sd/-

(Manoj Kumar Srivastava)

Addl. S. J. 3rd, Danapur