

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-V, PATNA

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. : 3220/2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

1. Ranjit Singh, aged about 45 yrs.

S/O Mahendra Singh

2. Amit Kumar, aged about 32 yrs.

S/O Ranjit Singh

Both R/O Indira Nagar, Road No-4,

P.S.- Jakkanpur, District- Patna

----Accused/Petitioners

vs

State of Bihar

----Opposite Party

P.S.- Kankar Bagh

F.I.R. Case No.- 751/2025

Offence u/s- 74, 352, 351(2), 308(3), 303(2) & 3(5) B.N.S.

District: Patna

Ld. Counsel for State →

Ld. A.P.P. Sri Onkar Pandey

Ld. Counsel for applicant →

Ld. Adv. Sri Ranjeet Kumar

ORDER

21-08-26 1. Vide this order, anticipatory bail application u/s 482 B.N.S.S. filed by the petitioner above named dated 18-07-2026 is disposed off.

2. Factual matrix of the present case are that one Rina Kumari SI of GRP Police Station Patna lodged a case alleging therein that the accused persons created Video and baseless allegation and made it viral, due to which the public servant was restrained from discharging of her duties. So, the informant being a public servant was in difficulty to execute her duties as police officer, when the video was tested secretly on 16-03-26, then the police came to know that a new corresponding namely Sunil Kumar and one Ranjan Kumar Sah, who is correspondent of youtube Chapra Mirror, have alleged baseless against the SHO of Patna Rai, GRP and his other colleagues. It has also been stated that without having any verification from supervising authorities, the same video has been made viral and recorded. The video was related to a female staff of the GRP, Patna Junction, who alleged that how the SHO was misbehaving with her. So, she called the media persons and it has been alleged that it got viral.

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-V, PATNA

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. : 3220/2026

on 09-05-26 at about 3:00 P.M., the informant was abused in filthy language as well as assaulted by the accused persons. She was also dragged out of her house and mercilessly beaten. During the assault, she sustained injury on her right thumb, which started bleeding. The blouse that she was wearing was torn, her hair was pulled and her Mangalsutra made of gold weighing about 7 grams and her Dholna also made of gold weighing about 3 grams were forcibly snatched away. She further stated that at that time, her daughter, Swati Kumari, was also assaulted while she was on her way to attend tuition classes.

3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has not moved earlier before this learned Court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court or the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India for grant of anticipatory or regular bail in the present matter, has no criminal antecedent, and is an innocent person who has been falsely implicated in a concocted case due to personal animosity on the part of the SHO, GRP, Patna Junction; it is further submitted that one Neera Kumari, posted as a Constable at GRP, Patna Junction, had allegedly faced harassment and ill-treatment by police personnel and had submitted representations before the SHO alleging humiliation, including reference to her caste as she belongs to a Maha Dalit family; that the petitioner holds an Udyam Registration Certificate under the MSME Ministry and is engaged in media-related activities, in which capacity he takes bytes/interviews from the general public; that while conducting an interview of Neera Kumari, the videography contained a clear disclaimer stating that the statements were made voluntarily by the persons concerned and that Chapra Mirror had no responsibility for such statements or any caste reference, wherein the victim and her husband narrated allegations of ill-treatment by senior officials of Patna GRP; that the petitioner has been wrongly made a scapegoat as he merely recorded the interview in his professional capacity without any involvement in the statements made; that he is a man of means having a permanent residence within the jurisdiction of this Court and is not likely to abscond or tamper with evidence; and that he undertakes to abide by all conditions imposed by this Court and to fully cooperate with the investigation and trial.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Additional P. P. for the state very vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners.

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-V, PATNA

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. : 3220/2026

5. Heard and perused the record and the case diary. On perusal, it was found that husband of informant filed a case on accused petitioner Amit Kumar on 05-08-25 for showing pistol and demanding Rangadari on demand of money of sweets taken by them. After institution of this case, they again came to the sweet shop of informant and misbehaved with her and there are also allegations of taking sale proceeds from cash box of shop and snatching golden chain and mangalsutra of informant on accused petitioner. On call by IO, he did not come to police station to co-operate in investigation and vacated home to escape from arrest where they were living on rent. In this background, the case instituted by the accused petitioners against informant of this case, appears to be a case filed for making undue pressure on informant and his family.

6. Considering the facts & circumstances discussed above, this bail application **disposed off** with **direction to the accused petitioners to surrender before the trial court within one month of receipt of this order and the trial court is directed to pass order without being prejudiced by this order.**

7. Let, this order be sent to the concern court as well as be uploaded on District court's websites and file be consigned to the record room after return of documents/materials submitted; if any; to the parties as per provisions.

Sd/-

Succ. A.S.J. -V, Patna
Dated: 21-08-2026