

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-XXXIV, Patna

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 3219 of 2026

Rohan Kumar Gupta v. State of Bihar

Present: Pankaj Kumar Lal,

Date: 23.07.2026

In the matter of:

Rohan Kumar Gupta, aged about 29 years
S/o- Bikash Kumar Gupta,
R/o- 2/H/2, Munshi Bazar Road, Tangra S.O.,
P.S. - Entally,
Kolkata, West Bengal- 700015

----- Accused/Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Police Station: Patna Cyber P.S.

FIR No.: 243/2026

Offences u/s.: 319(2), 79, 78(2), 351(2), 352 of B.N.S. and 66C, 66D of the I.T. Act.

District: Patna

On behalf of petitioner : Mr. Tabish Ahmad, learned Advocate

On behalf of State : Sri Kranti Kumar, learned A.P.P.

23.07.2026

1. Record produced before the court. Today, a supplementary petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner praying therein to grant permission to withdraw this anticipatory bail application.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor are present.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the offences alleged in the present case are bailable in nature and, therefore, the petitioner has no reasonable apprehension of arrest. On this ground, permission is sought to withdraw the present anticipatory bail application.
4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor did not oppose the prayer advanced on behalf of the petitioner.
5. Heard the learned counsels for the parties and perused the record.
6. On perusal it transpires that this anticipatory bail application has been preferred by the petitioner namely Rohan Kumar Gupta, in connection with Patna Cyber P.S. Case No.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-XXXIV, Patna

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 3219 of 2026

Rohan Kumar Gupta v. State of Bihar

Present: Pankaj Kumar Lal,

Date: 23.07.2026

243 of 2026 registered for offence under Section 319(2), 79, 78(2), 351(2), 352 of B.N.S. and 66C, 66D of the I.T. Act.

7. On perusal of the record, it appears that the FIR has been registered only for bailable offences. Therefore, the petitioner has no reasonable apprehension of arrest, and the present anticipatory bail application is not maintainable. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner has sought permission to withdraw the present anticipatory bail application.
8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties and the petition dated 23.07.2026 filed on behalf of the petitioner, this Court finds it appropriate to permit the petitioner to withdraw the present anticipatory bail application.
9. Accordingly, the prayer is allowed. The present anticipatory bail application is **dismissed as withdrawn.**
10. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the learned court concerned and this Record be deposited in the Record Room as per Rule.

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge-XXXIV

Patna.