

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge XXXI, Patna

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 3215/2026

Arun Kumar Dubey

Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Bihar

Opposite party

Ld. Counsel on behalf of state - Triloki Prasad Yadav
Ld. Counsel for Petitioner - Ajay Kumar
Ld. Counsel for Informant - Praveen Kumar

Sr. No.	Date	Order with signature of the Court	Office taken Date	Action/s with
----------------	-------------	--	--------------------------	----------------------

18.08.2026

This anticipatory bail application is preferred by **Arun Kumar**

Dubey S/o- Late Dinanath Dubey, aged about 59 years R/- 66, C.D.A. Colony, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Dist.- Patna in connection with Shastri Nagar P.S. Case No. 536/2026 registered U/s- 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS which is pending in the Court of A.C.J.M.-IX, Patna.

That the prosecution story in brief is that informant given written application before S.H.O. Shastri by Nagar that informant husband Late Dinanath Dubey died on 06/11/2000 in Buxar Nursing Home whose death certificate issued by Buxar Municipal Corporation on 22/12/2000. That my elder son show death Patna and taken death certificate from Patna Municipal Corporation in 2017 and registered her self in holding tax record Shastri criminal of 66, at CDA Colony, North Nagar, Patna, which is offence. With this application death certificate annexed.

It had been submitted that the petitioners had not moved any anticipatory or regular bail either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court earlier for this matter. It had been submitted that there is no criminal antecedent against the petitioner. It had been submitted that petitioner is innocent and falsely been implicated in this case and petitioner is elder son of informant and he has sole right to register any type of rent receipt in government record either Municipal Corporation or Revenue Department. It had been further submitted that informant was three son namely 1. Arun Kumar Dubey 2. Rajesh Kumar Dubey 3. Vinay Kumar Dubey all are mutually divided ancestral property in the presence of informant on 30-01-2016 before notary public were petitioner got alleged property in question. There is no chance of absconding or tampering with the evidence and ready to abide by the terms and conditions of court. Hence, prayed to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

Ld. A.P.P on behalf of the state and Ld. Counsel of informant appeared and submitted that the petitioner had committed serious offence and there are sufficient material in the case diary against the petitioner. He further emphasize that the accused in this case

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge XXXI, Patna
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 3215/2026

Arun Kumar Dubey

Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Bihar

Opposite party

trying to grab the share of his two brothers in the property located near by highways in Buxar and only due to this reason he is creating hurdles in the mutation of the property in the name of his two brothers. Although, the property situated at Buxar is not belong to accused as per the property distribution between the family. Hence, prayed to reject the bail petition.

Heard both the parties and perused the petition and case diary of Shastri Nagar P.S. Case No. 536/2026 registered U/s- 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS. The case of the informant who is mother of accused is that his son has made a false death certificate of his father at Patna and on the basis of that document he got registered house no. 66 Shastri Nagar Patna, in his own name and paying receipt in his name which is legally an offence. On the contrary, the Id. Counsel of the petitioner submitted that the above mentioned land and house situated at house no. 66 Shastri Nagar Patna was come in share of petitioner in the year 2016. A photy copy of 'Aapsi bantwara' has been submitted as annexure 2 which bears the signature of all the three sons of informant and signature of the informant also. The court finds this all dispute related to family matters arising out of dispute about the share in the property.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the Anticipatory Bail application is **allowed**. The petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail, within three weeks, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court and petitioner shall file an undertaking that he will co-operate with the investigation.

The order is dictated, and corrected by me.

Sd/-
(Amit Anand)
D.A.S.J. XXXI, Patna
Dated:- 18.08.2026

Date of Judgment/ order	10.08.2026
Date of reserving Judgment / order	NA
Uploading date	10.08.2026
Uploaded by	Nitin Kumar