

In the Court of Sessions Judge/Special Judge (NDPS), Patna

Anticipatory Bail Application No.-2675/2026
Arising out of Masaurhi P.S. Case No.806 of 2025

Ajay Pal

-----Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Bihar

-----Opposite party

Date

ORDER

28-07-2026

1. The present application for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the **accused-petitioner, Ajay Pal**, who apprehends arrest in connection with **Masaurhi P.S. Case No.806 of 2025** dated 08.10.2025. The case has been registered under **Sections 20(b)(ii)C, 22(c) of the NDPS Act**.
2. Heard Sri Devesh Kumar, learned counsel for the accused-petitioner and Sri Ashok Kumar Sinha, learned Spl. PP on behalf of the State.
3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 08.10.2025, acting upon confidential information regarding the transportation of ganja concealed in a truck loaded with coconuts on the Gaya-Patna NH-22, a raiding party was constituted in the presence of the deputed Magistrate, Raja Kumar. A truck bearing Registration No. BR01GH8399 was intercepted at the Nadaul Check Post. Following due compliance with the provisions of Section 50 of the NDPS Act, a search was conducted, during which **36 packets of ganja weighing 369.73 kg were recovered from concealed sacks inside the vehicle**. The contraband, along with two mobile phones and the truck, was seized. **Co-accused Laxman Kumar and Guddu Kumar were apprehended at the spot** and, during interrogation, **disclosed that the contraband was being transported at the instance of the petitioner, who is the registered**

In the Court of Sessions Judge/Special Judge (NDPS), Patna

Anticipatory Bail Application No.-2675/2026
Arising out of Masaurhi P.S. Case No.806 of 2025

Contd.....
(28-07-2026)

owner of the vehicle. Consequently, the present case was instituted under the relevant provisions of the NDPS Act.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It was contended that no incriminating material has been recovered from his possession. It was further argued that the petitioner is merely the registered owner of the vehicle, which had been lawfully let out on a monthly rental basis for the transportation of coconuts, and that he had no control over or involvement in the day-to-day operations of the vehicle. It was also submitted that the petitioner has a clean criminal antecedent. On these grounds, the petitioner seeks the grant of anticipatory bail.
5. *Per contra*, the learned Special Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail, submitting that a substantial quantity of contraband 369.73 kg of ganja, falling within the category of “commercial quantity” under the NDPS Act has been recovered. It was further submitted that two mobile phones were also seized, and that the investigation is still ongoing, with the charge-sheet yet to be filed. Emphasizing the gravity and seriousness of the offence, it was argued that the petitioner is not entitled to anticipatory bail.
6. Considering the nature of the offence; nature of accusations, the recovery of **369.73 kg ganja** (classified as **commercial quantity**) from

In the Court of Sessions Judge/Special Judge (NDPS), Patna

Anticipatory Bail Application No.-2675/2026
Arising out of Masaurhi P.S. Case No.806 of 2025

Contd.....
(28-07-2026)

the truck of the petitioner; submissions of the Learned Special Public Prosecutor and the fact that investigation is still in progress with the charge sheet yet to be filed, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner, **Ajay Pal**, stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge/Special Judge (NDPS),
Patna

Date of Order	28.07.2026
Date of Reserving Order	NA
Uploading Date	28.07.2026
Uploaded by	Amjad/-