

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2586 of 2026.

Parmeshwar Bal

..... **Petitioner.**

Versus

The State of Bihar

**Order
No.**

Date

ORDER

09 25-07-2026

1. Today, a list of document has been filed on behalf of informant, which is taken on record.
2. The **petitioner Parmeshwar Bal** apprehends arrest in connection with **Patliputra P.S. Case No. 68 of 2026**, registered under Sections 316(2), 316(5), 318(4), 351(2), 352 read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
3. Heard Sri Aditya Kumar Maharaj, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Rajesh Kumar, learned Public Prosecutor for the State as well as Sri Vijay Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for the informant.
4. As per the prosecution, based on the complaint of Amitabh Sohan, one Kamal Kishore Prasad, an acquaintance and long-standing client of the informant, visited his residence along with others. He represented that he had established two companies: Rondella Laundromat Private Limited (2017) and Rondella Marketing Private Limited (2019), engaged respectively in dry cleaning and food services under the brand names "Washing Express" and "Health Express." He further stated that the businesses were managed by his family members and associates, including co-accused Rahul Kalra, Ruchika Kalra, Usha Prasad, Anil Kumar Kalra, Prem Kumar Singh, and Ranjeet Kumar Jha. Alok Ranjan, Sweta @ Sweta Ranjan induced the informant to invest in the expansion of these businesses, assuring repayment within six months. Initially reluctant, the informant eventually agreed after repeated assurances and presentation of documents. On 15.09.2023, he issued three cheques in favour of co-accused Rahul Kalra, Anil Kumar Kalra, and Ranjeet Kumar Jha. Subsequently, he transferred a total of Rs.63,00,000 via cheque and RTGS to various accused persons namely Achin Kalra, Anju Kalra,

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2586 of 2026.

**Contd...
25-07-2026**

Parmeshwar Bal (petitioner), Rahul Kalra and Rajesh Kumar Jha and also paid Rs.17,25,000 in cash, amounting to Rs.80,00,000 in all. On 21.12.2023, the accused executed written documents acknowledging the liability, countersigned by Rahul Kalra. However, despite the lapse of time, repayment was avoided, and the accused began distancing themselves. On 04.01.2026, when approached, co-accused Kamal Kishore Prasad assured repayment within 10-12 days, but on 10.01.2026, Rahul Kalra allegedly threatened the informant not to pursue the matter. Despite repeated requests, no repayment has been made, nor any intention shown to do so. The accused are thus alleged to have dishonestly induced the informant to part with a substantial sum, committing offences of cheating, criminal breach of trust, and conspiracy.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only non-bailable offences invoked are under Sections 316(2), 316(5), and 318(4) of the BNS, which carry a maximum punishment of life imprisonment. Learned counsel further contends that the primary allegations are directed against co-accused Rahul Kalra, who is alleged to have received the money, and that the petitioner has been implicated merely because he was the employee of the alleged company. Learned counsel fairly submits that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent, namely: Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No.52 of 2026 for the offences under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 336(3), 338 of BNS.
6. The Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the bail application, submitting that the offence was committed in an organized manner, involving multiple accused persons, and that several victims have been defrauded. Further, the anticipatory and regular bail applications of several co-accused persons have been rejected by this Court. He further points out that witnesses have supported the prosecution case, the investigation is going on, and the charge

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2586 of 2026.

Contd...
25-07-2026

sheet has yet to be filed.

7. Considering the above facts and circumstances; that the offence appears to have been committed in an organized manner; that investigation is going on and that the charge sheet is yet to be filed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the application stands ***rejected***.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Order	25-07-2026
Date of Reserving Order	NA
Uploading Date	25-07-2026
Uploaded by	Amjad/-