

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA.
Criminal Misc. (Transfer) Case No. 228 of 2026

Dilip Kumar, S/o Late Rajendra Rai,
R/o:- Village- Jethuli, P.S.- Nadi, District- Patna

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... **Opposite Party**

Counsel for the Petitioner :- Sri Satrudhan Kumar, Advocate,

Counsel for the State :- Sri Rajesh Kumar, P.P.

ORDER

20.06.2026

1. The present Criminal Miscellaneous (Transfer) application has been filed on behalf of the **petitioner, Dilip Kumar**, informant of Nadi P.S. Case No. 76/2023, seeking amalgamation of three sessions trials arising from the said police case namely, **Sessions Trial No. 686/2023, Sessions Trial No. 946/2025, and Sessions Trial No. 1749/2025**, all pending before the Court of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VIII, Patna City.

2. The provision invoked by the learned counsel for the petitioner is Section 447 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. It is clarified that the correct provision is Section 448 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, which is reproduced below for ready reference:

(i) Whenever it is made to appear to a Sessions Judge that an order under this sub-section is expedient for the ends of justice, he may order that any particular case be transferred from one Criminal Court to another Criminal Court in his sessions division.

(ii) The Sessions Judge may act either on the report of the lower Court, or on the application of a party interested, or on his own initiative.

(iii) The provisions of sub-sections (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) and (9) of section 447 shall apply in relation to an application to the Sessions Judge for an order under sub-section (1) as they apply in relation to an application to the High Court for an order under sub-section (1) of section 447, except that sub-section (7) of that section shall so

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA
Criminal Misc. (Transfer) Case No. 228 of 2026

apply as if for the word "sum" occurring therein, the words "sum not exceeding ten thousand rupees" were substituted.

3. Bare perusal of the above provision makes it evident that the relief sought namely, amalgamation of three separate sessions trials, does not fall within its ambit, as the section primarily governs transfer of cases.
4. Furthermore, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that Sessions Trial No. 686/2023 has already advanced to the stage of evidence, with six prosecution witnesses examined, whereas in Sessions Trial Nos. 946/2025 and 1749/2025, charges are yet to be framed.
5. At this juncture learned counsel for the petitioner has sought an adjournment to address the Court on the issue of the provision invoked.
6. In view of the foregoing submissions, the matter stands adjourned.
7. Re-list this case on 02.07.2026 .

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Order	20.06.2026
Date of Reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	22.06.2026
Uploaded by	Vinod/-