

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2466 of 2026

Subodh Kumar

..... **Petitioners.**

**Versus
The State of Bihar**

**Order
No.**

Date

O R D E R

02 09-06-2026

- 1.** The **petitioner Subodh Kumar**, apprehend arrest in connection with **Digha P.S. Case No. 408 of 2026**, registered under Sections 109(1), 115(2), 126(2), 127(2), 303(2), 351(2), 352 read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
- 2.** The prosecution case, as alleged by the informant Anshuman Raj Kashyap, is that on 11.05.2026 at around 8:30-9:00 P.M., while he was at Hotel Kashyap Villa, Patna, co-accused Sagar Anand and Rahul Ranjan asked him to step outside. Trusting them, he went out and accompanied them to a nearby lane where a white Brezza car was parked with five to six occupants inside. It is alleged that as soon as he approached the vehicle, one of the men stepped out and struck him on the head with an iron rod and punched him in the face, causing bleeding from his head and mouth. The informant further stated that one of the assailants was dressed in a khaki uniform with double stars and a nameplate bearing the name "Subodh Kumar." He alleged that Sagar Anand and his associates continued to assault him, forced him into the vehicle, and threatened him with a pistol. Subsequently, the accused allegedly robbed him of two gold chains worth approximately ₹4 lakhs and ₹7,000 in cash from his pocket. They also threatened to kill him and dispose of his body in the river Ganga if he did not mend his ways.
- 3.** Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only non-bailable offences for which anticipatory bail has been sought are under Sections 109(1) and 303(2) of the BNS. It is argued that there is no direct allegation of assault against the petitioner, as is evident from the FIR. The only claim is that he allegedly restrained the informant from behind. The petitioner, a government employee

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2466 of 2026

Contd....
(09-06-2026)

serving in the Bhagalpur Police, was on sanctioned leave on the date of the incident. He has been falsely implicated merely because of his presence near the place of occurrence. Furthermore, the petitioner has a clean antecedent.

4. For a better appreciation of the anticipatory bail application, office is directed to **requisition the case diary** and the criminal antecedent of the petitioner from the Investigating Officer.
5. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks interim protection from arrest.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case; nature of accusation against the petitioner; the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner; that the petitioner is a government employee having clean criminal antecedent; ***interim protection from arrest is granted to the petitioners until the next date*** in connection with **Digha P.S. Case No. 408 of 2026**. The petitioner is, however, directed to cooperate with the Investigating Officer and remain available whenever required by the police.
7. Re-notify this case on 20.06.2026.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Order	09-06-2026
Date of Reserving Order	NA
Uploading Date	09-06-2026
Uploaded by	Rahul Raj/-