

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2314 of 2026.

Manish Raj

..... **Petitioner.**

Versus
The State of Bihar

**Order
No.**

Date

O R D E R

02 01-06-2026

1. The **petitioner, Manish Raj**, seeks anticipatory bail in connection with **Complaint Case No. 452(C) of 2023**, wherein vide order dated 15.04.2023, passed in complaint case No. 452(c) of 2023, the learned Magistrate found a prima facie case under Sections 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and 138 of N.I Act against the petitioner.
2. The complaint case in brief is that the complainant Himanshu Shekhar and the petitioner Manish Raj who is proprietor of Lakshya Joyti Library and runs a coaching institute namely Dakshna Institute, were friends and well known to each other. Further case of the complainant is that the petitioner offered him to be the partner in the said institute and also asked him to invest some money. The complainant gave a sum of Rs.6,60,600/- to the petitioner. Even after receiving the money the petitioner did not made him partner and extended the coaching. The petitioner did not made any agreement regarding the partnership and deferred the same one or other pretext. The coaching flourished and the petitioner developed dishonesty, upon which the complainant tried to settle the matter through some people. Then the petitioner issued four cheques and when the complainant placed all the four cheques before the bank for encashment, the same were dishonoured due to insufficiency of fund in the account. The complainant brought this fact into the notice of the petitioner through legal notice on 19.12.2022, to which the petitioner did not replied. The petitioner also threatened the complainant with dire consequences, if the complainant issues another notice to the petitioner. The complainant went to police station where his complaint was not entertained.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only non-bailable offence alleged is under Section 420 IPC, which carries a maximum sentence of seven years and is triable by a Magistrate. It is further submitted that the accusation levelled by the complainant Himanshu

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2314 of 2026.

Contd...
(01-06-2026)

Shekhar, that the petitioner took an amount of Rs. 6,60,000/- for making him a partner in his coaching institute is absolutely false. Moreover, this is a complaint case where custodial interrogation is not required. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been declared as absconder, even though no notice has ever been served upon him at any stage in the said case and he has no knowledge of the complainant case to which the present anticipatory bail application relates. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

4. For a better appreciation of this anticipatory bail petition, office is directed to **call for Trial Court Record** from the court concerned.
5. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for interim protection from arrest.
6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances, the nature of the alleged offences and their punishment; the submissions of learned counsel; the fact that this is a complaint case where custodial interrogation is not required; and the petitioner's clean antecedent as stated in paragraph 3 of the application, ***interim protection from arrest is granted to the petitioner in Complaint Case No. 452(C) of 2023 until the next date*** of hearing, subject to compliance with Section 482(2) of the BNSS.
7. List this matter on 16.06.2026 for further hearing.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Order	01-06-2026
Date of Reserving Order	NA
Uploading Date	01-06-2026
Uploaded by	Rahul/-