

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2310 of 2026.

Shubham Raj @ Shubham Kumar

..... **Petitioner.**

**Versus
The State of Bihar**

**Order
No.**

Date

O R D E R

02 01-06-2026

- 1.** The petitioner **Shubham Raj @ Shubham Kumar**, seek anticipatory bail in connection with **Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 144 of 2026**, registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 137(2), 303(2), read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
- 2.** The prosecution case, as narrated by the informant Amresh Kumar, is that his son, Amritanshu Anand, was residing in a rented room at PC Colony, B-180, in the house of Mrityunjay Kumar Singh, for study purposes. On 19.02.2016 at about 10:50 PM, the petitioner along with co-accused Ritu Raj, Ravi Raj, Deepak Kumar, and Golu Kumar, allegedly called Amritanshu. They abducted him in a Fortuner car (registration no. BR-01HW-0009) and took him to an office near Bishop Scott Girls School, Brahmpura, Jaganpura, Patna, where co-accused Vikas Kumar was present. The victim stated that the accused consumed alcohol and later took him near Brahmpur Mandir, where he was brutally assaulted with bamboo sticks, wooden planks, and belts, causing serious injuries to his back, head, thighs, and hands. It is further alleged that the accused threatened him with dire consequences if he disclosed the incident, warning that they would harm him during his examinations. At about 2:00 AM, the victim was abandoned near Pataliputra Stadium. He also alleged that his Apple mobile phone was taken away and that threats were made to kill his father.
- 3.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only non-bailable offence invoked is Section 303(2) of the BNS, which carries a maximum punishment of five years imprisonment and is triable by a Magistrate. It is argued that the FIR itself shows that the alleged abductee was released the same night. The accusation under Section 303(2) is general and omnibus in nature, merely alleging that the victim's mobile phone was taken. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated, as he and the co-accused are friends of the alleged victim, and the incident arose out of a night of drinking, which displeased the informant. It is next submitted that co-accused namely Rituraj Singh

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2310 of 2026.

**Contd....
(01-06-2026)**

Chauhan had been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 08-05-2026 passed in A.B.P No. 1532 of 2026. The petitioner has clean criminal antecedent.

4. For a better appreciation of this anticipatory bail application, office is directed to **requisition the case diary** and the criminal antecedent of the accused-petitioner from the Investigating Officer.
5. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks interim protection from arrest.
6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case; the nature of the offences, the extent of punishment prescribed upon conviction; nature of accusation being general and omnibus in nature, the submissions advanced on behalf of petitioner; and his clean antecedent, ***interim protection from arrest is granted to the petitioner until the next date of hearing in Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 144 of 2026.*** The petitioner is, however, directed to cooperate with the Investigating Officer and remain available whenever required by the police.
7. Re-notify this case on 15.06.2026.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Order	01-06-2026
Date of Reserving Order	NA
Uploading Date	01-06-2026
Uploaded by	Rahul/-