

In the court of Additional sessions Judge-II

A.B.P. No – 2193 of 2026

(1. Rajesh Kumar Sinha

2. Puja Sinha

3. Anpurana Sinha Vs. State of Bihar)

ABP No.- 2197 of 2026

(Raj Rajesh Kumar Nayan Vs. State of Bihar)

Sr. No.	Date	Order with signature of the Court	Office Action/ s taken with Date
20.06.26		Two separate anticipatory bail petitions filed on behalf of accused 1. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, aged about 51 years, S/o Lt. Sunil Kumar Prasad 2. Puja Sinha, aged about 49 yrsrs, W/o Rajesh Kummar Sinha 3. Anpurana Sinha, aged about 23 years, D/o Rajesh Kumar Sinha and Raj Rajesh Kumar Nayan, aged about 27 years, S/o Rajesh Kumar Sinha all R/o House No.-6, Anand Nagar, Road No.-2, Govarshahi, PS.- Aahiypur, Dist.-Muzaffarpur and at present R/o L/202, Blumingaldell, East Main Road Pummal, Shankar Nagar, Chennai in connection with Mahila Thana P.S. case no – 39/2026 in which petitioners are apprehending their arrest pending in the court of Sri Rahul Prakash JMFC, Patna. On request of Ld. Counsel for the petitioners both the petitions heard together. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Addl. P.P. for the state and perused the case-diary. Ld Counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioners submit that petitioner no. 1, 2 and 3 of ABP No.-2193/2026 are father-in-law, mother-in-law and sister-in-law of the informant respectively and they reside in Chennai and another petitioner Raj Rajesh Kumar Nayan of ABP No.-2197/2026 is husband of the informant and both the wife husband reside separate from the petitioner no. 1, 2 and 3. The Ld. Counsel further contends that petitioners are quite innocent and they never demanded any type of dowry either from the informant or her parents. All allegation brought upon the petitioners are false and fabricate. The Ld. Counsel further contends that the good sense has been prevailed between the parties and all disputes have been resolved and both of them are agreed to live with each other and petitioners are ready to undertake that they would not temper the evidence in future therefore their anticipatory bail may be allowed. The Ld. APP fairly submitted that if the petitioner Raj Rajesh Kumar Nayan is agreed to live together therefore their bail may be considered. Perused the case record. On perusal the case record it transpires that on the basis of written report of the informant an FIR bearing Patna Mahila PS case No.-39/2026 was registered u/s 126(2), 115(2), 85, 89, 316, 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS and u/s 3/4 of D.P Act against the above noted petitioners. As per written report of the informant, marriage of the informant was solemnized on 24.11.2025 with petitioner Rej Rajesh Kumar Nayan as per Hindu rituals. After marriage when informant went to her in-laws house then all petitioners demanded 10 Lakh rupees as dowry and they also tortured her for dowry. During the hearing on bail petitions petitioner Raj Rajeh Kumar Nayan and informant appeared in the court and it was stated by the petitioner that he is ready to keep the informant and he will keep her with full dignity and informant is also ready to live with the petitioner as his wife.	

In the court of Additional sessions Judge-II

A.B.P. No – 2193 of 2026

(1. Rajesh Kumar Sinha

2. Puja Sinha

3. Anpurana Sinha Vs. State of Bihar)

ABP No.- 2197 of 2026

(Raj Rajesh Kumar Nayan Vs. State of Bihar)

Cont....
20.06.26

Seeing their desire to live together of both the wife and husband the anticipatory bail of the petitioners Raj Rajesh Kumar Nayan is provisionally allowed for three months

So far anticipatory bail petitions of another petitioner Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Puja Sinha and Anpurna Sinha is concerned that all the petitioners are father-in-law, mother-in-law and sister-in-law of the informant and they reside in Chennai and from the written report of the informant it transpires the dispute arose between the informant and petitioner Raj Rajesh Kumar Nayan taking the matter of honeymoon and no any direct allegation brought above petitioner regarding demanding dowry or torturing the informant. I also perused the case diary and found that there is no cogent evidence against the petitioners regarding their involvement in demanding dowry or torturing the informant for dowry. Therefore I am inclined to release them on anticipatory bail.

Having the considered the above facts and circumstances as well as the material available in case diary and also seeing their desire to live together and also seeing the nature of allegation, I am inclined to allow the anticipatory bail of the petitioners as above, in event of surrender or arrest of the petitioners as above within six week from the date of receipt the copy of order, let the petitioners namely Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Puja Sinha and Anpurna Sinha be released on bail on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10000/- with two sureties like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri Ravi Prakash, Ld. JMFC, Patna with condition as laid down under the provision of section 482(2) of BNSS. Bail bond of the petitioner Raj Rajesh Kumar Nayan will be provisionally accepted for three months. After three months both wife - husband would appear in person before the trial court and if Learned Magistrate finds that both of them are living happily and no any complain was made by the informant against the petitioner. The Ld. Magistrate would confirm the bail of the petitioner as above.

Dictated,
Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Patna.
20.06.2026.

Date of Judgment/Order	20-06-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	20-06-2026
Uploading Date	20-06-2026
Uploaded by	Niranjan Kumar Ojha