

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2179 of 2026.

1. Gyanchand Rai
2. Binod Kumar
3. Rajesh Kumar
4. Ranvijay Kumar
5. Dewanti Devi @ Dawati Devi
6. Pinki Devi
7. Jiwaki Devi @ Jiki Devi
8. Vivek @ Chulbul Petitioners.

**Versus
The State of Bihar**

**Order
No.**

Date

O R D E R

12 25-07-2026

1. The **eight petitioners** seek anticipatory bail in connection with **Jakkanpur P.S. Case No. 414 of 2026**, registered under Sections 74, 76, 115(2), 126(2), 303(2), 351(2), 352 read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
2. Heard Sri Gajanan Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Rajesh Kumar, learned Public Prosecutor for the State.
3. The prosecution case, as narrated by the informant Tanu Kumari, is that on 02.05.2026, between 1:00 PM and 2:00 PM, she was lured outside her house under the pretext of meeting a corporation contractor. This, she alleges, was a premeditated conspiracy. Once outside, she was surrounded by several individuals, namely (1) Gyan Chandra Rai, (2) Ranvijay Kumar, (3) Rajesh Kumar, (4) Subhash Kumar, (5) Vinod Kumar, (6) Pinky Devi, (7) Jikki Devi, (8) Devati Devi, (9) Ravi Kumar, (10) Vivek (Chulbuli), (11) Himanshu, (12) Monu, (13) Sonu, (14) Vicky, (15) Suraj, (16) Bhushan Rai, (17) Neha, (18) Priyanka, along with 10-15 others. They allegedly abused her, assaulted her, tore her clothes, molested her, snatched her chain, and threatened to kill her within two days. It is further alleged that the accused demanded ransom, pressuring her to vacate her house and withdraw pending case. The incident was reportedly captured on CCTV installed outside her residence. The informant also claims that the accused are habitual land mafias

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2179 of 2026.

**Contd....
(25-07-2026)**

with criminal backgrounds, citing several prior cases against them i.e, Jakkanpur P.S. Case Nos. 61/2016, 279/2018, 579/2018, 153/2019, 206/2019, 219/2019, and 611/2024.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the only non-bailable offences alleged are under Sections 74, 76, and 303(2) of the BNS, carrying a maximum punishment of seven years. It is argued that the FIR contains general and omnibus allegations without specific attribution. The petitioners claim false implication, citing a long-standing property dispute. Petitioner No. 6 had purchased an adjoining house on 21.03.2018 from Kamlesh Singh, the informant's brother-in-law. After taking possession, disputes arose, and the informant allegedly began filing false cases. The petitioners themselves lodged Jakkanpur P.S. Case No. 580/2018 (under Section 379 IPC), Case No. 207/2019 (under Sections 379, 384 IPC), Case No. 220/2019 (under Sections 354, 379 IPC), and Case No. 610/2024 (under Section 305 BNS) against the informant and her associates. The dispute also involved a drainage passage east of the informant's house, which petitioners claim falls within their purchased land. A demarcation case (No. 49/18-19) was registered before the Circle Officer, Phulwarisharif, and the Government Amin confirmed the passage belonged to the petitioners. Subsequently, petitioner No. 4 filed Title Suit No. 407/2019 before Sub Judge-III, Patna, where an Advocate Commissioner ordered demarcation. Learned counsel acknowledges that the petitioners have six criminal antecedents, all instituted by the informant side, namely Jakkanpur P.S. Case Nos. 61/2016, 279/2018, 579/2018, 206/2019, 219/2019, and 611/2024.
5. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submitted that the petitioners have prior criminal antecedents, as reflected in the case diary and in paragraph 3 of the anticipatory bail application. He further pointed out that the Investigating Officer has recorded Jakkanpur P.S. Case No. 289 of 2018 instead of Jakkanpur P.S. Case No. 279 of 2018 as one of the criminal

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.2179 of 2026.

**Contd....
(25-07-2026)**

antecedents against petitioner nos. 1, 4, 6 and 8 in the case diary. However, learned Public Prosecutor expressed the possibility that the incorrect case number may have been mentioned inadvertently.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case; nature of offence i.e. severity of punishment in the event of conviction; nature of accusation against the petitioners being general and omnibus; and submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioners more particularly the defence; the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above-named petitioners is **allowed**. It is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, the above-named petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

**(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge, Patna**

Date of Order	25-07-2026
Date of Reserving Order	NA
Uploading Date	29-07-2026
Uploaded by	Rahul/-