

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1985 of 2026.

Ravi Prakash

..... **Petitioner.**

Versus

The State of Bihar

**Order
No.**

Date

O R D E R

07 30-05-2026

- 1.** The **petitioner, Ravi Prakash**, apprehends arrest in connection with **Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 214 of 2026**, registered under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 336(3), 338 read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
- 2.** Heard Sri Nikesh Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Rajesh Kumar, learned Public Prosecutor for the State.
- 3.** The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant, Kiran Mishra, alleged that co-accused Rahul Kalra, Managing Director of Rondela Lindromat Private Limited, along with his associate Achin Kalra (both sons of Anil Kalra) and co-accused Ranjit Kumar Jha, in collusion with each other, induced the informant and her son, late Shweta Mishra, to invest in the business "Washing Xpress." They purportedly assured franchise rights for railway blanket washing and expansion of the business in Patna, Indore, and the railway sector. Acting on these assurances, the informant entered into agreements and transferred a substantial sum of ₹3,20,00,000/- (three crores and twenty lakhs) into various accounts belonging to the accused and their associates. It is alleged that despite receiving the funds, the petitioner along with co-accused Rahul Kalra, Anil Kalra, Achin Kalra, Ranjit Kumar Jha, Prem Kumar Singh, Rakesh Upadhyay, Anwar Hayat Khan, Rajeev Kumar Verma, Gautam Kumar, Rajesh Kumar, Sashi Bhushan Prasad Singh, failed to provide the promised franchise/business or return the money, thereby cheating the informant through a well-orchestrated criminal conspiracy.
- 4.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that primarily the accusation is directed towards co-accused Rahul Kalra, who is alleged to have received money and the petitioner has been

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1985 of 2026.

Contd...
30-05-2026

implicated merely because he is brother-in-law of said co-accused. It is next submitted that the petitioner has three criminal antecedents being **(i)** Gandhi Maidan P.S Case No.11 of 2026 & **(ii)** Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No.200 of 2026, and **(iii)** Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No.201 of 2026, all related to similar allegation involving co-accused Rahul Kalra and the company *M/S Washing Xpress*.

5. The Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, contending that the offence was committed in an organized manner and that the petitioner, along with other co-accused, defrauded several persons. It is further submitted that witnesses have corroborated the prosecution's case, the investigation is going on, and the charge sheet has yet to be filed. Hence, the petitioner does not merit the grant of anticipatory bail.
6. Considering the above facts and circumstances; the nature and gravity of the offence, the severity of punishment upon conviction; the organized manner in which the offence was allegedly committed; three prior criminal antecedents all involving similar allegations linked to Rahul Kalra and *M/S Washing Xpress*; and the fact that the investigation is still in progress with the charge sheet pending, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the application stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Order	30-05-2026
Date of Reserving Order	26-05-2026
Uploading Date	30-05-2026
Uploaded by	Amjad/-