

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1986 of 2026.

Ravi Prakash

..... **Petitioner.**

Versus

The State of Bihar

**Order
No.**

Date

O R D E R

07 30-05-2026

- 1.** The **petitioner, Ravi Prakash**, fears arrest in connection with **Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 201 of 2026**, registered under Sections 316(2), 318(4), read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
- 2.** Heard Sri Nikesh Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Rajesh Kumar, learned Public Prosecutor for the State.
- 3.** The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant, Ranvijay Pratap, has known co-accused Rahul Kalra for the past 12-15 years. It is alleged that between 03.07.2019 and 2023, Rahul Kalra, along with his father Anil Kalra, persuaded the informant to invest in their company, Rondella Laundromate Pvt. Ltd., on the assurance of granting him partnership/shares and supporting the establishment and expansion of a workshop. On this pretext, the accused allegedly obtained a total sum of ₹1,11,00,000/- (Rupees One Crore Eleven Lakhs) from the informant in multiple installments through online transfers, cheques, and cash. Despite repeated assurances, no shareholding or valid documentation was ever provided, and the informant was continuously misled. It is further alleged that the petitioner, along with other co-accused persons Rahul Kalra, Ruchika Prakash Kalra, Anil Kalra, K.K. Prasad, Anju Kalra, and Prem Kumar Singh were also involved in the alleged offence.
- 4.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only non-bailable offences invoked are under Sections 316(2) and 318(4) of the BNS, carrying a maximum punishment of seven years. Learned counsel further contends that the primary allegations are directed against co-accused Rahul Kalra, who is said to have received the money, and that the petitioner has been implicated merely due to

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1986 of 2026.

Contd...
30-05-2026

his relationship as brother-in-law of Rahul Kalra. It is also submitted that the petitioner has three prior criminal antecedents: (i) Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 11 of 2026, (ii) Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 200 of 2026, and (iii) Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 214 of 2026, all involving similar allegations connected with Rahul Kalra and the company M/S Washing Xpress.

5. The Learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, submitting that the offence was committed in an organized manner, affecting numerous persons, and that witnesses have supported the prosecution case. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.
6. Considering the above facts and circumstances; that the offence appears to have been committed in an organized manner; three prior criminal antecedents all involving similar allegations linked to Rahul Kalra and *M/S Washing Xpress*; that investigation is going on and that the charge sheet is yet to be filed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the application stands ***rejected***.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)

Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Order	30-05-2026
Date of Reserving Order	NA
Uploading Date	30-05-2026
Uploaded by	Amjad/-