

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1983 of 2026.

Anju Kalra

..... **Petitioner.**

Versus

The State of Bihar

**Order
No.**

Date

O R D E R

07 30-05-2026

- 1.** The **petitioner, Anju Kalra**, apprehends arrest in connection with **Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 201 of 2026**, registered under Sections 316(2) and 318(4), read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
- 2.** Heard Sri Nikesh Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Rajesh Kumar, learned Public Prosecutor for the State.
- 3.** The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant, Ranvijay Pratap, has known co-accused Rahul Kalra for the past 12-15 years. It is alleged that between 03.07.2019 and 2023, Rahul Kalra, along with his father Anil Kalra, induced the informant to invest in their company, Rondella Laundromate Pvt. Ltd., on the pretext of offering partnership/shares and for the establishment and expansion of a workshop. On such assurances, the accused persons allegedly obtained a total sum of ₹1,11,00,000 (Rupees One Crore Eleven Lakhs) from the informant in multiple installments through online transfers, cheques, and cash. Despite repeated assurances, no shareholding or valid documentation was ever provided, and the informant was continuously misled. It is further alleged that the petitioner, along with other co-accused persons namely Rahul Kalra, Ruchika Prakash Kalra, Anil Kalra, K.K. Prasad, Ravi Prakash, and Prem Kumar Singh was also involved in the alleged transactions.
- 4.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only non-bailable offences invoked are under Sections 316(2) and 318(4) of the BNS, carrying a maximum punishment of seven years. Learned counsel further contends that the primary allegations are directed against co-accused Rahul Kalra, who is alleged to have received the money, and that the petitioner has been implicated merely due

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1983 of 2026.

Contd...
30-05-2026

to her relationship as his mother. It is also emphasized that the petitioner is a 70-year-old woman. Learned counsel acknowledges that the petitioner has two prior criminal antecedents: (i) Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 202 of 2026 and (ii) Patliputra P.S. Case No. 68 of 2026, both involving similar allegations connected to co-accused Rahul Kalra and the company M/S Washing Xpress.

5. The Learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, submitting that the offence was committed in an organized manner, affecting numerous persons, and that witnesses have supported the prosecution case. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.
6. Considering the above facts and circumstances; that the offence appears to have been committed in an organized manner; two prior criminal antecedents both involving similar allegations linked to Rahul Kalra and *M/S Washing Xpress*; that investigation is going on and that the charge sheet is yet to be filed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the application stands ***rejected***.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)

Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Order	30-05-2026
Date of Reserving Order	26-05-2026
Uploading Date	30-05-2026
Uploaded by	Amjad/-