

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1509 of 2026.

Chaitanya Krishna

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

**Order
No.**

Date

O R D E R

02 15-04-2026

1. The **petitioner, Chaitanya Krishna**, seeks anticipatory bail in connection with **Shastri Nagar P.S. Case No. 285/2026**, registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 127(2), 308(3), 308(5), 351(2), 352 read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
2. The prosecution case in brief is that the informant, Ajit Kumar, approached a firm named *Arthav Legal Services*, located within the jurisdiction of Shastri Nagar Police Station, seeking professional assistance. In February 2020, he came into contact with the petitioner, Chaitanya Krishna, who allegedly induced him to pay Rs. 5,000/- on the pretext of completing certain work. After receiving the money, the petitioner avoided the informant and failed to perform the promised task, thereby cheating him.
3. On 02.04.2026, at about 8:20 PM, when the informant contacted the petitioner over phone demanding either completion of the work or refund of the money, the petitioner allegedly abused him and threatened to kill him. The following day, on 03.04.2026, the informant, accompanied by his friend Pankaj Kumar, went to the petitioner's office to confront him. It is alleged that the petitioner, along with co-accused Manish Yadav and Alok Kumar, acting in furtherance of their common intention, misbehaved with them, snatched their mobile phones, and switched off the CCTV cameras.
4. The victims were allegedly wrongfully confined and brutally assaulted for nearly two hours with weapons, causing both physical injuries and psychological trauma. The accused are further said to have abused and threatened them with dire consequences, attempted to outrage their modesty by compelling them to perform indecent acts, and forcibly took their Aadhaar cards while coercing

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1509 of 2026.

Contd...
(15-04-2026)

them to sign blank papers under threat of a country-made pistol.

5. It is further alleged that the accused demanded Rs. 10,000/- for the return of their mobile phones and humiliated them by forcing them to remove their shirts and perform obscene acts. They also attempted to make the victims consume intoxicating substances and continued the assault. Ultimately, after extorting Rs. 2,000/- from Pankaj Kumar, the accused returned the mobile phones but warned them not to report the incident. They allegedly forced the victims to pose with weapons, took photographs, and threatened to create and circulate morphed obscene content using AI.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only non-bailable offence alleged is under Section 308(5) BNS, which carries a maximum sentence of ten years. It is argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated.
7. It is contended that the informant was employed as an Office Attendant in the petitioner's firm and had access to confidential records, client details, electronic data, the office computer, WhatsApp Web, and financial dealings of the firm. During the course of his engagement, the informant allegedly indulged in serious financial irregularities, misappropriation of documents and electronic records, misuse of office systems, and was even found engaging in illegal activities while at work.
8. Upon discovery of such misconduct, the petitioner immediately terminated the informant's services and warned him of appropriate legal action. On the very same day, with mala fide intent to take revenge and to pressurize the petitioner, the informant lodged the present case, levelling highly exaggerated and absurd allegations in collusion with the police. The petitioner is further stated to have a clean criminal record.
9. For a proper consideration of this anticipatory bail application, the office is directed to **call for the case diary** along with the petitioner's criminal antecedents from the Investigating Officer.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1509 of 2026.

Contd...
(15-04-2026)

10. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for interim protection from arrest.

11. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case; the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner more particularly the defence; and the petitioner's clean antecedents, ***interim protection from arrest*** is granted to the petitioner in connection with **Shastri Nagar P.S. Case No. 285/2026 until the next date** of hearing. The petitioner is, however, directed to cooperate with the Investigating Officer and remain available whenever required by the police.

12. Re-list this case on 28.04.2026 for further consideration.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)

Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Judgment/Order	15-04-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	15-04-2026
Uploaded by	Amjad/-