

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1077 of 2026.

1. Poonam Devi
2. Dolly @ Dolly Kumari
3. Sulekha Devi
4. Rakesh Sahni @ Rakesh Kumar
5. Jitendra Sahni
6. Ranjeet Kumar
7. Kamli Devi

..... Petitioners.

Versus
The State of Bihar

**Order
No.**

Date

O R D E R

05 16-04-2026

1. The **seven petitioners** seek anticipatory bail in connection with **Digha P.S. Case No. 160 of 2026**, registered under Sections 61(2), 74, 115(2), 126(2), 303(2), 351(2), and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
2. Heard Sri Pramod Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Rajesh Kumar, learned Public Prosecutor for the State.
3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 19.02.2026 at about 8:30 p.m., the accused persons namely, Poonam Devi, Kamli Devi, Sulekha Devi, and Jitendra Sahni, residents of the same locality, acting in furtherance of their common intention, forcibly entered the house of the informant, Gauri Devi, and abused her. It is alleged that petitioner No.7, Kamli Devi, twisted the informant's hand, dragged her to the ground, and, along with the others, assaulted her with fists and kicks. During the incident, Kamli Devi allegedly snatched a gold chain from the informant's neck. Further, petitioner No.3, Sulekha Devi, and petitioner No.5, Jitendra Sahni, are said to have threatened to kill the informant, while all accused warned her of dire consequences if she approached the police. It is also alleged that petitioner No.4, Rakesh Sahni (son of the landlord), together with petitioner No.2, Dolly, and her husband, were present at the scene and actively aided the accused in committing the offence. The informant has further stated that similar incidents had occurred previously.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the only non-bailable offences alleged are under Sections 74 and 303(2) of the BNS, which carry a maximum punishment of five years imprisonment and are triable

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.1077 of 2026.

**Contd....
(16-04-2026)**

by a Magistrate. It is further submitted that petitioner Nos.1, 3, 5, and 7 are tenants of Ashok Sahni, petitioner No.4 is the landlord's son, petitioner No.2 is his cousin, and petitioner No.6 is her husband. Learned counsel contends that the allegations are general and omnibus in nature, and the petitioners have clean antecedents.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
6. Injury report has been received, which shows that the informant has bodyache and linear abrasion in lower part of right cheek. However, opinion with regard to nature of injury has not been furnished awaiting X-Ray report. Enough opportunity has been given to the prosecution to produce the same. Therefore, this anticipatory bail application is being heard and disposed of in absence of the same.
7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case; the nature of the offences and the punishment prescribed; nature of accusation against the petitioners; rival submissions advanced on behalf of both the sides; and their clean antecedents, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail of the above-named petitioners is **allowed**. It is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, the above-named petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

**(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge, Patna**

Date of Judgment/Order	16-04-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	16-04-2026
Uploaded by	Amjad/-