

**IN THE COURT OF ANAND KUMAR SRIVASTAVA DISTRICT &
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-XI, PATNA**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1020 of 2026

Amit Kumar

Versus

State of Bihar

Ld. counsel for the petitioner:- Mr. Ram Kumar

Adv.

Ld. counsel for the State:- Mr. Udai Prakash

APP

Amit Kumar, son of Ranjit Singh, resident of ostal Park Indira Nagar, P.S. Jakkanpur, District-Patna who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 987 of 2025 for the offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 109, 303(2), 3(5) of the of B.N.S.**Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

Opposite Party

ORDER

26.03.2026

The anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely **Amit Kumar**, son of Ranjit Singh, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 987 of 2025 for the offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 109, 303(2), 3(5) of the of B.N.S.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the informant, Alok Raj, submitted a written statement before the Station House Officer of Kankarbagh Police Station on 21.10.2025. The informant stated that he is a resident of Village–Adarsh Colony, Kurthaul, Parsa Bazar, Patna. On 20.10.2025 at about 12:00 p.m., while he was returning to his house in his car bearing Registration No. BR01JG-5715 from Ram Babu Hotel, accused Virat Raj @ Sonal allegedly intercepted him and taken out of his vehicle along with his elder brother RanjitYadav, Gautam Yadav, Amit Singh and Suraj. It is alleged that Virat Raj @ Sonal assaulted the informant with an iron rod on his head with an intention to kill him, and that Suraj fired from his pistol. The informant further alleged that about 20 other persons were also presentat the place of occurrence who assaulted him with lathi and danda. During the occurrence,it is alleged that his gold chain was snatched and his purse containing Rs. 25,000/- in cashand other documents was taken away by Suraj, who is stated to be a friend of GautamYadav. Thereafter, some persons took the injured informant to Sai Hospital, from where hewas sent to the police station, and subsequently his treatment was conducted at NMCH. Onthe basis of the aforesaid written statement of the informant, the instant F.I.R. was registered.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that no any anticipatory bail petition or regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this learned court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. He further submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to malafide intention and ulterior motives of the informant. It is further submitted that petitioner was neither arrested on the spot nor any incriminating article has been recovered from t his conscious possession, which clearly indicates that the

**IN THE COURT OF ANAND KUMAR SRIVASTAVA DISTRICT &
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-XI, PATNA**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1020 of 2026

Amit Kumar

Versus

State of Bihar

Ld. counsel for the petitioner:- Mr. Ram Kumar

Adv.

Ld. counsel for the State:- Mr. Udai Prakash

APP

petitioner has been roped in only to harass him. It is further submitted that a bare perusal of the FIR, would reveal that the specific allegation of assault with iron rod has been made against co-accused Virat Raj @ Sonal, who is alleged to have assaulted the informant on his head with intention to kill and no specific overt act has been attributed to the present petitioner so as to attract the alleged offences against him. It is further submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature and the ingredients of the alleged offences are not attracted against him. There is three criminal antecedents against the petitioner in which he is on bail. Hence prayed to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, learned Additional P.P. on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Having been heard and perused the case record. It appears that case has been filed for the offence under Section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 109, 303(2), 3(5) of the of B.N.S. From perusal of the case diary offence under Section 303(2) of B.N.S. is not found true, it also appears that C.T. Scan report was not produced by the informant before the doctor, there are lacerated wound on the perital region of injured which are simple in nature, except Section 109 and 303 (2) of B.N.S. all other sections areailable in nature. Allegation against the petitioner is of firing upon the informant but there is no sign of fire arm injury also there is no evidence in case diary regarding recovery of cartridges from place of occurrence or any sign of firing. Other co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail by this court. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the submission made herein above. Having regard to all these aspects of the case, the anticipatory bail of the petitioner namely, **Amit Kumar** is hereby **allowed**.

It is therefore, ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender within four weeks from date of receiving of this order by the lower court, the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing his bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-/- (Rs. Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court in Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 987 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down u/s 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

sd/-

Anand Kumar Srivastava
Additional Sessions Judge XI,Patna

Date of order	26.03.2026
Date of reserving order	25.03.2026
Date of uploading	30.03.2026
Uploaded by	Shweta (steno)