

In the Court of District and Additional Session Judge XXXI, Patna

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 1007/2026

Raushan Kumar

Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Bihar

Opposite party

Ld. APP on behalf of State..... Saroj Kumari

Ld. Counsel for Petitioner..... Kedar Singh

Sr. No.	Date	Order with signature of the Court	Office taken Date	Action/s with
----------------	-------------	--	--------------------------	----------------------

24.04.2026

This bail application is preferred by **Raushan Kumar S/o- Nagendra Rai** in connection with Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 19/2021, registered U/s- 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B of I.P.C. which is pending in the Court of SDJM, Patna.

The prosecution case in brief is that Silki Sharma lodged an FIR stating that an account was fraudulently opened in her name at ICICI Bank, Exhibition Road, Patna without her knowledge or consent, through which unauthorized transactions were carried out. She came to know about this on 21.12.2020 through Delhi Police, denied any connection with the account, and alleged involvement of bank officials in opening the account without proper verification, seeking legal action against those responsible.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had not moved any anticipatory or regular bail either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. It had been submitted that petitioner had no criminal antecedent. It had been further submitted that petitioners are innocent and falsely been implicated in this case and the entire allegations against the petitioner are false and baseless. There is no chance of absconding or tampering with the evidence and ready to abide by them terms and conditions of bail. Hence, prayed to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of the state and submitted that the petitioner had committed serious offence. Hence, prayed to reject the bail petition.

Heard the Ld. Advocates of both the parties and perused case diary of Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 19/2021, registered U/s- 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B of I.P.C. against the petitioner. Petitioner is accused of opening of bank

In the Court of District and Additional Session Judge XXXI, Patna
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 1007/2026

Raushan Kumar

Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Bihar

Opposite party

account without the knowledge of informant. This case was registered in the year 2021, during covid pandemic and that time physical appearance for opening of bank account was not mandatory. Petitioner has no any criminal history.

In view of above facts and circumstances, the anticipatory bail application is **allowed**. In the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioner within three week from the date of communication of this order, the petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

The order is dictated, and corrected by me.

Sd/-
(Amit Anand)
D.A.S.J. XXXI, Patna
Dated:- 24.04.2026

Date of Judgment/ order	24.04.2026
Date of reserving Judgment / order	NA
Uploading date	24.04.2026
Uploaded by	Nitin Kumar