

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - II, Patna
B.P. No.1264/2026.

Arjun Singh **Petitioner.**
Vs.
The State of Bihar **Opposite party.**

Sr. No.	Date	Order with signature of the Court	Office taken Date	Action/s with
	<u>29.05.2026.</u>	This regular bail application has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely Arjun Singh, Son of Rajesh Singh, R/O village Parkidih, P.O. Rangadih, P.S. Balrampur, District Purlia who is in custody since 20.04.2026 in connection with G.R.P. Patna Sahib P.S. Case No.34/2026 for the offence registered U/S 317(5) of the BNS, pending in the court learned Railway J.M., Patna. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Addl. P.P. for the state and perused the case-diary. The prosecution case as per written application submitted by the informant namely Suratdeo Narayan Maurya, Head Constable, R.P.F. out Post Rajgir, in brief, is that on 18.04.2026 he along with other Police personnel was in duty in Dn. South Bihar Express train from Danapur to Jhajha. When the train started running from Patna Sahib Railway station at 8.55 p.m., in the meantime a boy was seen fleeing rashly from Bogie No.A-2 to A-1 who was apprehended by the Police party. On being asked about the Mobile of Redmi company kept in his hand, he disclosed that the said Mobile belongs to him, but the Mobile kept in the right pocket of his jeans, he did not give satisfactory account. On query he disclosed his name as Arjun Singh who is the present petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner along with recovered both the Mobile Phones were produced to the Railway station Fatuha. IMEI Nos. of both the recovered Mobile Phones were mentioned in the written application. After discharging his duty the informant gave his written application to the A.S.I. Bhumnath Prasad Yadav. Accordingly, Production Cum seizure-list was prepared thereof. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case merely on suspicion. Nothing incriminating article has been recovered from possession of the petitioner. During investigation no cogent or legal evidence has been collected against the petitioner to connect his complicity in the offence alleged. No case is made out against the petitioner as alleged in the F.I.R. under the facts and circumstances of the case. The petitioner is		

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - II, Patna
B.P. No.1264/2026.

Arjun Singh

Petitioner.

Vs.

The State of Bihar

Opposite party.

Contd.
29.05.2026.

languishing in custody since 20.04.2026 having no criminal antecedent. Hence taking into consideration all the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner deserves to be released on bail.

On the other hand learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner contending that the petitioner was found in possession of two Mobile phones for which he did not give satisfactory account. Investigation against the petitioner is still continuing.

Heard both sides, perused the record, case-diary and other materials available on the record. The allegation brought against the petitioner is that one stolen screen touch Samsung Mobile phone is said to has been recovered from possession of the petitioner. It is also the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 20.04.2026 i.e. more than a month by now. He has got no criminal antecedent vide Para-28 of the case-diary.

Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation and the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Railway J.M. Patna.

Dictated,

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Patna.
29.05.2026.

Date of Judgment/Order	29-05-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	29-05-2026
Uploading Date	29-05-2026
Uploaded by	Niranjan Kumar Ojha